



FEMA

**State of Alabama
COOPERATING TECHNICAL PARTNERS
FLOOD STUDY MAPPING ACTIVITY STATEMENT**

Mapping Activity Statement No. FY10.AL

In accordance with the Cooperating Technical Partners (CTP) Partnership Agreement dated September 30, 2002 between the State of Alabama and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Mapping Activity Statement (MAS) No. FY10.AL is as follows:

CONTENTS

SECTION 1—OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE	3
Project Management.....	5
Project Risk Identification and Mitigation.....	6
Perform Project Scoping	6
Perform Project Outreach.....	10
Perform Field Survey	11
Develop Topographic Data	13
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	19
Acquire Base Map	19
Perform Independent QA/QC: Base Map	20
Develop Hydrologic Data	21
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydrologic Data.....	23
Develop Hydraulic Data.....	24
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydraulic Data.....	26
Perform Coastal Analysis.....	27
Perform Independent QA/QC: Coastal Analysis	29
Perform Floodplain Mapping.....	29
Perform Independent QA/QC: Floodplain Mapping.....	31
Develop DFIRM Database.....	32
Produce Preliminary Map Products	32
Perform Independent QA/QC: Produce Preliminary Map Products.....	34
Distribute Preliminary Map Products.....	35
Post-Preliminary Map Production.....	36
SECTION 2—TECHNICAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT DATA SUBMITTAL.....	40
SECTION 3—PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE	41
SECTION 4—FUNDING/LEVERAGE	42
SECTION 5—STANDARDS.....	42
SECTION 6— SCHEDULE	45
SECTION 7—CERTIFICATIONS	53
SECTION 8—TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND RESOURCES	54
SECTION 9—CONTRACTORS	54
SECTION 10—REPORTING	54
SECTION 11—PROJECT COORDINATION	55
SECTION 12—POINTS OF CONTACT	56

APPENDIX A – DETAILED SCOPE OF WORK BY WATERSHED AND COUNTY

APPENDIX B – PARTNER CONTRIBUTIONS

SECTION 1—OBJECTIVE AND SCOPE

The objective of the Risk MAP Project documented in this MAS is to develop and / or support Digital Flood Insurance Rate Maps (DFIRMs) and Flood Insurance Study (FIS) reports, for Houston County and portions of Autauga, Dallas, Elmore, Lowndes and Montgomery Counties in Alabama. All processes and deliverables shall be completed in accordance to the Federal Emergency Management Agency's (FEMA's) Guidelines and Specifications (G&S) for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners and effective Procedure Memoranda (PMs). These documents can be found on FEMA's website at http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/fhm/gs_main.shtm and http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/fhm/gs_memos.shtm. PMs are used to implement updates to the G&S, to provide additional clarification of procedures that are not documented in published guidance documents, and to establish procedures and policies. Should a PM require a scope change, CTPs should work through the change process by submitting Special Problem Reports (SPRs) to the appropriate Regional office.

The DFIRMs and FIS reports will be produced for the Upper Choctawhatchee, Lower Chattahoochee and Chipola watersheds which include Houston County and the Upper Alabama watershed which includes portions of Autauga, Dallas, Elmore, Lowndes and Montgomery Counties, in the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88). Additionally, watershed reports for the Upper Choctawhatchee, Lower Chattahoochee, Chipola and Upper Alabama watersheds will be created and distributed to the identified counties. This MAS also includes coastal flood hazard analyses for approximately 40 additional transects along 211.0 miles of shoreline including the following coastal flooding sources in Mobile and Baldwin Counties: Mississippi Sound, Mobile Bay and Gulf of Mexico.

In addition, the Mapping Partners involved in this project will develop new and/or updated flood hazard data, as summarized in Table 1.1, Total Stream Mile Counts by Type of Study.

Table 1.1 – Total Stream Mile Counts by Type of Study*

	Coastal	A zone/ Basic Study	AE, AH Zone Enhanced Study	Revisions due to Updated topographic data
Miles of Effective Flood Insurance Study	N/A ^{**}	795.4	413.2	
Updated Effective Studies	N/A ^{**}	747.0 ^{**} / 0.0	370.9 / 0.0	1,081.0
New Studies Identified	N/A	0.0 / 0.0	48.4 / 0.0	

*Details on type of study will be documented in Full Project Scope Deliverable from Scoping task identified in an attached Appendices.

**48.8 miles will be converted to limited detail study (included in New Studies Identified Enhanced Study mileage)

^{**}FY10 funding includes \$160,000 for additional transects and outreach. Coastal mileage is detailed in the FY09 MAS.

This Risk MAP Project will be completed by the following Mapping Partners:

- Alabama Office of Water Resources (OWR), CTP;
- AMEC Earth and Environmental, Inc. and PBS&J Corporation, CTP Contractors; and
- Michael Baker Corporation, FEMA Contractor.

The Mapping Partner shall notify FEMA and all applicable parties of all meetings with community officials, and other relevant meetings, at least two weeks prior to the meeting (with as much notice as possible). FEMA and/or its contractor may or may not attend the community meetings.

The Mapping Partner shall maintain an archive of all data submitted. (All supporting data must be retained for three years from the date a funding recipient submits its final expenditure report to FEMA.)

The Alabama Office of Water Resources is responsible for the implementation of an independent Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) plan for all assigned activities. The Alabama Office of Water Resources will submit a Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps. The report should include the process for all assigned activities.

Independent QC review activities may be performed by the CTP's or FEMA's contractor at the discretion of FEMA. If the CTP will be utilizing its contractors to do the QC review, this should be identified during scoping. The CTP will need to submit its QC plan to the Regional Project Officer for approval. Please note FEMA will also be performing periodic audits and overall study/project management to ensure study quality. Whether or not the CTP performs the QC review, the CTP will be responsible for addressing any and all comments resulting from independent QA reviews, including re-submittal of deliverables as needed to pass technical review. The Alabama Office of Water Resources will submit Risk MAP products to FEMA's designated reviewer for QC prior to public issuance.

Metadata is required for all activities. The Mapping Partner will comply with the revised DCS standards as outlined in revised version of Appendix M, including the certification requirements. A PDF of the form with the signature, data, and seal affixed to the form must be submitted digitally. This form must be signed by a registered Professional Engineer (or Surveyor if appropriate) from the firm contracted to perform the work, or by the responsible official of a government agency. A digital version of this form is available at www.fema.gov.

DFIRM-related tasks require a passing QC Report from FEMA's National DFIRM database auto-validation tool for Quality Review (QR) #1, #2, and #5 as described in PM 42. Training materials for this step are available on the Mapping Information Platform (MIP) at MIP User Care>Training Materials.

FEMA will provide download/upload capability for data submittals through the MIP located at <https://hazards.fema.gov>. As each activity is completed, the data must be submitted to the MIP.

The Alabama Office of Water Resources will respond to any comments generated as a result of the mandatory quality control checks by the Production and Technical Services contractor (PTS) as described in PM 42. The PTS QC process is nationally funded and required on each study.

In cooperation with the FEMA Project Officer, a Project Management Team (PMT) will be established by the Alabama Office of Water Resources consisting of representatives from the OWR, AMEC, PBS&J, FEMA's regional engineer, the Regional Support Center (RSC), and other appropriate parties. The PMT will be responsible for coordinating the activities identified in this MAS. The FEMA Region will be provided with documentation identifying the established PMT.

Earned Value Data Entry: The MIP Workflow is designed to track the Earned Value of mapping projects. This information is automatically calculated by the MIP, using the actual cost and schedule of work

performed, or “actuals” and comparing them to the expected cost and schedule of work performed, or “baseline”.

Once the FEMA Regional office has funded a project, FEMA will complete the “Obligate Project Funds” screen in the MIP. This step establishes the baseline for the project in the MIP, using the cost and schedule information for each task as outlined in this document and agreed to at the completion of the scoping process.

The MIP study workflow allows the Alabama Office of Water Resources to manage the status of these projects at a task level. The cost and schedule information, updated by the Alabama Office of Water Resources for each contracted task, is compared to the baseline established for those tasks. This information is rolled up to a project level and monitored by the FEMA Region to assess progress and Earned Value.

Earned Value data entry involves updating cost, schedule and performance (physical percent complete) in the MIP by the Alabama Office of Water Resources.

Once the baseline has been established in the MIP, the Alabama Office of Water Resources shall input the performance and actual cost to date for each contracted task for each project. This must be completed at minimum **every thirty days** and at the completion of the task. When a task is completed, including all QA/QC activities in this MAS plus the Quality Control Reviews established in PM 42, the Alabama Office of Water Resources shall enter 100% complete, enter the actual completion cost, and the actual completion date within the Manage Data Development, Manage Preliminary Map Production, or Manage Post Preliminary Processing, as applicable. The “Manage” tasks will be open and accepting updates for up to 90 days after the completion of the last producer task in each module. The MIP shall also be populated with appropriate leverage information regarding who paid for the data provided and the amount of data used by the Risk MAP Project. The Alabama Office of Water Resources will maintain a Schedule Performance Index (SPI) and Cost Performance Index (CPI) of at least .92. SPRs must be submitted in a timely manner as required.

The Project Officer, as needed, may request additional information on status on an ad hoc basis.

Project Management

Responsible Mapping Partner: Alabama Office of Water Resources and its Contractors

Scope: Project Management is the active process of planning, organizing, and managing resources toward the successful accomplishment of pre-defined project goals and objectives. The Alabama Office of Water Resources will coordinate with the FEMA Regional Office with respect to Project Management activities and technical mapping activities.

Standards: All Project Management work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables:

- Monthly Earned Value data reporting through the MIP with variance explanations to support management of technical mapping activities;
- Management of SPI/CPI performance for an organization; and
- Management of adherence to scope of work and quality of work for an organization.

Project Risk Identification and Mitigation

Responsible Mapping Partner: Alabama Office of Water Resources and its Contractor

Threats to the planned completion of a project may come from various sources. Risks should be identified during the planning phase and monitored throughout the project so that potential impact can be assessed and solution strategies developed and implemented as needed.

Table 1.4 – Project Risk Identification

Project Risk	Potential Impact	Solution Strategy
LIDAR collection delay	Completion of Develop Topographic Data delayed; Start of Perform Hydrologic and Hydraulic Analyses delayed	Special Problem Report submitted to FEMA and community informed through monthly status report
Difficult survey conditions	Increased project cost or decreased project scope; Perform Field Survey delayed; Start of Perform Hydraulic Analyses delayed	Special Problem Report submitted to FEMA and community informed through monthly status report
Appeals received	Post-Preliminary Processing extended and Effective Date delayed	Strong QA/QC plan and implementation throughout project; Early identification of potential appeals – involvement of stakeholders; Close coordination with FEMA and communities during appeal resolution period
Technical errors	Delay in delivery of mapping products; increased appeals	Strong QA/QC plan and implementation throughout project
Limited project resources	Delay in production schedule and delivery of mapping products	Two national contractors with significant experienced staff under contract

Perform Project Scoping

Responsible Mapping Partner: Alabama Office of Water Resources and its Contractors

Scope: The Project Scoping phase typically begins once some level of a community's mapping needs have been identified and FEMA and the community have decided to initiate a Risk MAP Project to identify, assess, plan for, and communicate the community's risks. The scoping process is divided into three main parts – Production Planning, Scoping, and the Post-Scoping Meeting Activities.

Production Planning

Once projects have been prioritized based on the Risk MAP prioritization algorithm, the Alabama Office of Water Resources will conduct production planning activities to collect data to support project scoping and implementation. The data requested of the community by the Alabama Office of Water Resources during Risk MAP production planning will include:

- Elevation data
- Local needs for new or re-studied areas to support updates to CNMS (the selected needs data identified during the CNMS process can be obtained through the FEMA Region/RSC and plotted on the scoping map for discussion during the scoping meeting)
- Status of current mapping assessment and planning within project area
- Contact information (including contacts for enhanced stakeholder group and major economic drivers in the watershed)
- Mitigation planning information (including collecting existing plans, plan data and expiration dates, and what technical assistance the communities may desire)
- Community data (e.g., boundaries, demographics)
- Engineering data (e.g., NID information, local studies, new/revised structures)
- Risk assessment data (e.g., local assessment data, non-flood hazards)
- Local communication capabilities, preferences, and demographics

Production planning also presents the first opportunities to communicate with the community about the project. The Alabama Office of Water Resources will complete a Community Communications Assessment to assess the current communication capabilities within the community, and then complete a Community Communications Planning Tool to determine how risk communications capability will be built over the project lifecycle. Specific communications, such as notices of impending study, and a Risk MAP Project Initiation Packet will be sent to the local community from the Alabama Office of Water Resources during this phase.

If Production Planning steps are performed by a separate Contractor, a report must be submitted summarizing the data collection efforts and deliverables.

Scoping Meeting

The main objectives of the Scoping Meeting are to: 1) leave with a common, documented understanding of the scope of the project and planned outcomes; and 2) initiate risk assessment, mitigation planning, and risk communication discussions. The Alabama Office of Water Resources will coordinate, setup, and hold the Scoping Meeting to inform the community of the upcoming flood study and of their responsibilities related to it; this includes identifying a time, place, and participants.

Activities to be conducted during the Scoping Meeting are detailed below.

- Review the NFIP in general and the mapping process in particular
- Identify the mapping needs identified by each affected community
- Identify the existing flood hazards in the project area, which can include riverine, coastal (ocean and gulf), lacustrine, alluvial fan, and shallow flooding hazards to be assessed
- Determine the existence and accuracy of available topographic data
- Determine the base map to be used for the production of the Digital Flood Insurance Rate Map (DFIRM)
- Finalize and document (through the Project Participation Agreement) the scope of the project, including determining which flooding sources would be studied
- Make or confirm assignments to OWR members using an updated MAS/SOW template

- Provide compliance/adoption information to community officials
- Initiate risk assessment and mitigation planning discussions
- Identify the level of risk assessment and planning support required
- Update the Coordinated Needs Management System (CNMS)
- Validate the information captured by the community assessment tool and identify appropriate local spokesperson(s) for the project moving forward
- Initiate development of a Project Risk Communications Plan
- Present the high level results of the ALE/HAZUS and risk assessment examples to meeting attendees

Risk MAP Scoping Meetings will include members of an “enhanced stakeholder group” (described below) that will provide a broad local knowledge base to help inform the project. Meeting with this larger group will also allow FEMA to share Risk MAP project data with a wider local audience than has been done before.

Risk MAP Scoping Meeting invitees/attendees are listed below.

- Regional Project Team Lead (usually a Regional Engineer)
- CTP members of the PMT
- Regional Support Center (RSC) members of the PMT
- CTP contractor members of the Project Team
- State NFIP Coordinator, if not participating as a CTP
- Other Federal agencies that are active or have a vested interest in the Risk MAP effort in the area (either under an Interagency Agreement with FEMA or as part of their own programs)
- Community Chief Executive Officers (CEOs) and floodplain administrators (FPAs) in the watershed/study area affected
- Indian Tribal entity CEOs and FPAs in the watershed/study area if Indian Tribal lands affected
- Community floodplain managers/building officials that are not identified as FPAs for program purposes
- Community officials that are not identified as FPAs for program purposes
- Community Geographic Information System (GIS) specialists
- Community planners (e.g., mitigation planners, land-use planners, housing/ community development officials, planning and zoning officials)
- State and local emergency management officials
- Economic development and commerce representatives (e.g., local economic development officials, chambers of commerce, large businesses)
- Other stakeholders with ongoing projects
- Representatives of environmental groups
- Representatives of State agencies other than the State NFIP Coordinator(s)

The Alabama Office of Water Resources will support the FEMA Consultation Coordination Officer (CCO) for this flood study as identified in 44 CFR Part 66. The CCO for this study is identified as Kristen Martinenza. During the Scoping Meeting, the Alabama Office of Water Resources must inform the communities of their responsibilities as described under the above-referenced regulation. Following initial contact with the communities, the CTP will prepare and setup the Community Case File and Flood Elevation Determination Docket for the maintenance of all communication and coordination throughout the project as outlined in 44 CFR Parts 66 and 67.

Post-Scoping Meeting Activities

- Select available and needed geospatial data to be used in the study and risk assessments as well as update FEMA's geospatial data tracking systems, National Digital Elevation Program (NDEP) and National Digital Orthophoto Program (NDOP) located at <http://hazards.fema.gov/metadata/NDEP> and <http://hazards.fema.gov/metadata/NDOP>. Evaluate selected needs and community requests to determine the community's unmet needs and develop the final Scope of Project document for delivery to FEMA and the community.
- Update CNMS with the final documentation showing newly validated and/or areas with remaining needs as appropriate.
- Supply a copy of the approved Scoping Report back to the communities in order to ensure they are aware of which needs were selected to be updated during the flood study.

Based on the discussion of flood data update and base map update requests, the Alabama Office of Water Resources and the FEMA Project Officer will finalize the areas to be included in the final scope of project (based on recommendations provided by the PMT). Areas to be studied by basic or enhanced study methods shall be identified. The following issues will be discussed and refined: Review and Refinement of Flood Hazard Identification Methodologies, Review of Proposed Paneling Scheme, Review and Refinement of Base and Topographic Map Source, and Finalization of Map Production and Database Options.

Identify all stream/coastal reaches where levees are shown as providing protection against the 1-percent-annual-chance flood. The Alabama Office of Water Resources must work with the FEMA Regional Office to request the information specified in Title 44 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 65.10, mapping of areas protected by levee systems, from the community or other party seeking continued recognition of the levee and provide this information to the FEMA Regional Office and/or PMT.

Many of the activities at each phase can take place concurrently and are not contingent on the completion of previous tasks. The FEMA Project Officer, working in close coordination with the PMT, has the flexibility of tailoring the Scoping process to best fit the needs of the project. The Alabama Office of Water Resources will evaluate the effective flood data, available base data and selected needs to determine the scope of project to be approved by FEMA.

Standards: All Scoping work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: The Alabama Office of Water Resources and its Contractor shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP.

- Final Scoping Report for project documented in MAS or SOW will be delivered in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule to the Regional Project Officer for approval. Project scope should include a list of watersheds and affected communities to be studied/mapped and a clear assessment of ability of the project to meet metrics.
- QA/QC Plan for the review of the mapping project outlined in this MAS. This will include the checklists developed for that review in accordance with the schedule included in Section 6 - Schedule.
- Updated list of CEO or local FPA contacts or a report from CIS showing this information has been updated.

- Update leverage data in MIP.
- Report documenting levee information transmitted to the FEMA Regional office and/or the PMT.
- Report from FEMA CNMS, showing needs identified during the scoping process that will not be addressed in the final scope of project are entered.
- Report showing that, if obtained from non-Federal sources, information on available terrain and ortho-imagery data has been entered into the NDEP and NDOP project tracking Web sites, respectively.
- Other deliverables including reports, correspondence, maps, agenda, meeting summaries, tabular data, and geospatial files to be submitted throughout the scoping process.
- For leverage data, evidence that the providing partner is aware of the delivery deadlines and scope for deliverable products, and that they are capable of meeting those requirements.

Perform Project Outreach

(NOTE: The performance of outreach takes place throughout the life of the flood study project. Work with your Region to develop a Project Outreach Plan (POP). Therefore, we recommend tracking the outreach budget, in the MIP Workflow, equally between Produce Preliminary Map Products and Post Preliminary Processing. An alternate tracking method is acceptable with approval from the FEMA Regional Office.)

FEMA's outreach program includes the following meetings (on average): Discovery Meeting, Flood Study Review Meeting, Final CCO Meeting/Public Open House, and a Resilience Meeting.

Four outreach meetings

Risk communication to the state and local officials will begin during pre-scoping. As indicated earlier, traditional pre-scoping will be enhanced to obtain and review information regarding existing hazard mitigation plans and other data to support risk assessment and potential planning efforts. It will also be used to initiate risk discussions with the community, and obtain critical information regarding local communication protocols. This Risk MAP project will include four in-person opportunities to build risk awareness at the local level. The actual number of meetings will be determined based on the risk and need at the local level and determined as part of developing the project-based communication plan. These opportunities consist of:

- **Discovery Meeting.** The traditional scoping meeting will be enhanced to include members of the enhanced stakeholder group, described in detail above in "Perform Project Scoping."
- **Flood Study Review Meeting.** This meeting will serve two purposes. First it will provide local stakeholders the opportunity to view and comment on the engineering analyses prior to public release and encourage them to take ownership of the results. Second, it will provide refined risk assessment data and preliminary contributing flood risk factors, thereby increasing risk awareness and providing the local stakeholders with the opportunity to take proactive measures to reduce its risk in the short term.
- **Final CCO meeting(s)/open house.** This meeting will provide local officials an opportunity to verify the appropriate revisions have been made to previously demonstrated information, take ownership of the products, and deliver the results of the project to the local citizenry. Risk MAP production team support will be provided to support the local officials, or deliver the messages, if the local officials are unwilling.

- **Resilience Meeting.** The final Risk MAP project outreach and communication effort will occur sometime between the Letter of Final Determination (LFD) and shortly after adoption. Its purpose will be to “turn-over” final results of the project to the local stakeholders, develop an action plan for them to use the results of the Risk MAP project to take risk reduction measures, and obtain feedback on how the project could have been implemented better, including how risk communications can be improved in the future.

To facilitate information sharing and a continuing dialogue between the PMT and the community, the Alabama Office of Water Resources will provide communities with a monthly status report outlining the current project status, key accomplishments to date, and next steps (template to be provided from FEMA).

The overarching goal is to create a climate of understanding and ownership of the mapping process at the State and local levels. Well-planned and executed community engagement can reduce political stress, confrontation in the media, and public controversy, which can arise from lack of information, misunderstanding, or misinformation. These outreach activities also can assist FEMA and other members of the Alabama Office of Water Resources in responding to congressional inquiries.

The Alabama Office of Water Resources will work with the Regional Office during the initiation of this activity to develop the Project Communications Plan to support the implementation of the mapping project. The Regional Office will have access to many customizable outreach tools that have been developed for this process to support each touch point that the PMT has with the community. Volume 1 of the G&S provides specific outreach goals that can be considered

All communication with local governments will be done in accordance with 44 CFR Part 66.

Deliverables: Upon development of a Project Communications Plan, the Alabama Office of Water Resources shall deliver the following to the FEMA Regional Project Officer in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 – Schedule and include within the TSDN:

- A report detailing outreach and coordination activities
- Backup or supplemental information used in writing this report

Perform Field Survey

Responsible Mapping Partner: CTP Contractor

Scope: To supplement any field reconnaissance conducted during the Project Scoping phase of this project, the CTP Contractor shall conduct a detailed field reconnaissance of the specific study area to determine conditions along the floodplain(s), types and numbers of hydraulic and/or flood-control structures, apparent maintenance or lack thereof of existing hydraulic structures, locations of cross sections to be surveyed, and other parameters needed for the hydrologic and hydraulic analyses.

The CTP Contractor shall conduct field surveys, including obtaining channel and floodplain cross sections, identifying or establishing temporary or permanent bench marks, and obtaining the physical dimensions of hydraulic and flood-control structures. If appropriate the CTP Contractor shall also identify items needed for coastal analyses including land cover, vegetation types, housing, dunes, beach nourishment, and coastal structures. The CTP Contractor also shall coordinate with other Mapping Partners that are involved in the Topographic Data Development process regarding ongoing activities and deliverables.

Standards: All Field Survey work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: The CTP Contractor shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP. A metadata file complying with the NFIP Metadata Profiles Specifications, must accompany the G&S compliant digital data. Additionally, support documentation and Certification of Work shall be submitted according to Appendix M. Where Technical Support Data Notebook (TSDN) format is used, such shall be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

This submittal will occur in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule. Where paper documentation is required by State Law for Professional certifications, you may submit the paper in addition to a scanned version of the paper for the digital record.

- A report summarizing the findings of the field reconnaissance;
- Maps and drawings that provide the detailed survey results;
- Survey notebook containing cross section and structure data;
- Documentation of the horizontal and vertical datum;
- Digital versions of draft text for inclusion in the FIS report;
- Digital survey data consistent with the DCS (see draft DCS language and coordinate with the Region regarding its appropriate usage) as described in the G&S, and
- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps taken during the preparation of the DFIRM as outlined in the approved QA/QC Plan.

Develop Topographic Data

Responsible Mapping Partner: Alabama Office of Water Resources

Scope: Topographic/elevation data may be new or existing. New is defined as data that will be flown and processed for the areas specified in this MAS according to the referenced specifications. Existing topographic/elevation data (previously flown and/or processed) may be used to produce flood studies and related products. However, if new data is not to be collected, the FEMA Region should be consulted before leveraging the best available existing topographic to ensure acceptability for the intended level of flood hazard study.

The Alabama Office of Water Resources will obtain additional topographic data in Dallas and Lowndes Counties along the Alabama River including overbank areas. This data will be used for hydrologic analysis, hydraulic analysis, floodplain boundary delineation and/or testing of floodplain boundary standard compliance in fiscal year 2010. The remaining topographic data detailed in Table 1.5 below for Autauga, Elmore, Houston and Montgomery Counties is existing data to be leveraged from the communities. In preparation for hydrologic and hydraulic analyses in fiscal year 2011, the Alabama Office of Water Resources will obtain topographic data in Calhoun, Coffee, Colbert, Dale, Geneva, Lauderdale, Madison, St. Clair and Talladega Counties. The data collection efforts in these counties will result from co-funding partnerships between FEMA, the communities and other state and/or federal agencies.

The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall gather availability, currency, and accuracy information for existing topographic data covering the communities in this MAS. The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall use topographic data for work in this MAS only if it is better quality than that of the original study or effective studies. In coordination with the partner who performed the scoping task in conjunction with this MAS, ensure that the FEMA Geospatial Data Coordination Policy and Implementation Guide is followed and the data obtained or to be produced are documented properly as per those policies and guidelines.

Requirements for New Topographic Data:

The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall generate new topographic data for the Alabama River in Dallas and Lowndes Counties. The Alabama Office of Water Resources also shall coordinate with team members conducting field surveys as part of this MAS. Accuracy for the topographic data shall be selected based on the current FEMA requirements as documented in the G&S and generally will correspond with the level of detail for the flood hazard study to be conducted with this topographic data. Normally topographic data accuracy is 37cm RMSE vertically except for extremely flat terrain. No FEMA funds shall be expended on new topographic data unless prior approval is given by the Regional Project Officer after analyzing the need for updated topographic data during the scoping period. If approved, FEMA funds will only be used for processing areas within the expected floodplain areas of the affected counties/watersheds.

For this activity, the Alabama Office of Water Resources shall generate the data collected under this Topographic Data Development task and via field surveys to create a best available digital elevation model for the subject flooding sources. In addition, the Alabama Office of Water Resources shall address all concerns or questions regarding the topographic data development and processing that are raised by the QA/QC contractor during the independent QA/QC review. The Alabama Office of Water Resources

should confirm with the FEMA Project Officer the appropriate data model(s) (i.e. contours, Digital Elevation Models (DEMs), TIN, mass points and breaklines) for the intended use of the data.

Requirements for leveraging existing Topographic Data:

The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall use topographic data for the areas described in the Table 1.5 "Summary of Topographic Data" table. The source of the topographic data must be listed as well.

The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall coordinate with other team members conducting field surveys as part of this MAS. Accuracy for the topographic data shall be evaluated based on the current FEMA requirements for flood hazard study level of detail as documented in the G&S.

The Alabama Office of Water Resources also shall update the topographic maps and/or DEMs for the subject flooding sources using the data collected under this Topographic Data Development process and via field surveys. In addition, the Alabama Office of Water Resources shall address all concerns or questions regarding the topographic data development that are raised by the QAQC contractor during the independent QC review or during the PM 42 defined Validation Process.

Table 1.5 Summary of Topographic Data

New Existing	Study Area	Accuracy & Age	Source	Contact Info	Approximate Footprint	Use Restrictions
Existing	03150201 - Upper Alabama	Bathymetry	USACE	Greg Dreaper GIS Specialist Ph: (251) 694-3728 Gregory.w.dreaper@usace.army.mil	Alabama River – bank to bank, from the confluence with Tombigbee River upstream to Montgomery.	None
Existing	03150201 - Upper Alabama	LiDAR Collected 2010 V: +/- 0.5' H: <3'	USACE	Mike McBurney PLS Contracts Administrator Surveying and Mapping Unit Spatial Data Branch USACE Mobile District Ph: (251) 694-4188	Mobile/Alabama River – 1000' buffer, from the mouth upstream to Montgomery.	Data Sharing Agreement
Existing	03150201 - Upper Alabama	LiDAR Collected 2009 V: +/- 1'	Montgomery County	Ron Stanfield, GISP, CMS, ACM GIS Coordinator Montgomery Co. Appraisal Dept. Ph: 334-832-1303 Ronstanfield@mc-ala.org	Montgomery County	Data Sharing Agreement
Existing	03150201 - Upper Alabama	LiDAR Collected 2009 V: +/- 0.5'	Elmore County	Mike Harper, ACA, ACTA Revenue Commissioner Elmore County Ph: 334-567-1118 mharper@elmoreco.org	Elmore County	Data Sharing Agreement
Existing	03150201 - Upper Alabama	LiDAR Collected 2010	Autauga County	Ryan Pecharka GIS Coordinator City of Prattville Ph: (334) 361-3613 Ext. 232 Ryan.Pecharka@Prattvilleal.gov	Autauga County	Data Sharing Agreement
New	03150201 - Upper Alabama	LiDAR to be Collected 2010	FEMA/OWR	Leslie A. Durham, P.E. Chief, Floodplain Mgmt Branch Alabama OWR leslie.durham@adeca.alabama.gov	Selected areas of floodplain surrounding Alabama River in Lowndes and Dallas Counties.	None

New Existing	Study Area	Accuracy & Age	Source	Contact Info	Approximate Footprint	Use Restrictions
Existing	03140201 Upper Choctawhatchee, 03130004 Lower Chattahoochee, & 03130012 Chipola	LiDAR Collected 2007 V: +/- 1'	Houston County	Larry Bart Barefoot Civil Engineer, City of Dothan P.O. Box 2128 Dothan, AL 36302 Ph: (334) -615-4425 lbbarefoot@dothan.org	Houston County	Data Sharing Agreement
New	03140201 Upper Choctawhatchee	LiDAR to be Collected 2010	FEMA/OWR	Leslie A. Durham, P.E. Chief, Floodplain Mgmt Branch Alabama OWR leslie.durham@adeca.alabama.gov	Dale County	None
New	03140201 Upper Choctawhatchee	LiDAR to be Collected 2010	FEMA/OWR	Leslie A. Durham, P.E. Chief, Floodplain Mgmt Branch Alabama OWR leslie.durham@adeca.alabama.gov	Coffee County	None
New	03140201 Upper Choctawhatchee	LiDAR to be Collected 2010	FEMA/OWR	Leslie A. Durham, P.E. Chief, Floodplain Mgmt Branch Alabama OWR leslie.durham@adeca.alabama.gov	Geneva County	None
New	03150106 Middle Coosa	LiDAR to be Collected 2010	FEMA/OWR	Leslie A. Durham, P.E. Chief, Floodplain Mgmt Branch Alabama OWR leslie.durham@adeca.alabama.gov	Calhoun County	None
New	03150106 Middle Coosa	LiDAR to be Collected 2010	FEMA/OWR	Leslie A. Durham, P.E. Chief, Floodplain Mgmt Branch Alabama OWR leslie.durham@adeca.alabama.gov	St. Clair County	None
New	03150106 Middle Coosa	LiDAR to be Collected 2010	FEMA/OWR	Leslie A. Durham, P.E. Chief, Floodplain Mgmt Branch Alabama OWR leslie.durham@adeca.alabama.gov	Talladega County	None

New/Existing	Study Area	Accuracy & Age	Source	Contact Info	Approximate Footprint	Use Restrictions
New	06030002 Wheeler Lake	LiDAR to be Collected 2010	FEMA/OWR	Leslie A. Durham, P.E. Chief, Floodplain Mgmt Branch Alabama OWR leslie.durham@adeca.alabama.gov	Madison County	None
New	06030002 Wheeler Lake	LiDAR to be Collected 2010	FEMA/OWR	Leslie A. Durham, P.E. Chief, Floodplain Mgmt Branch Alabama OWR leslie.durham@adeca.alabama.gov	Lauderdale County	None
New	06030005 Pickwick Lake	LiDAR to be Collected 2010	FEMA/OWR	Leslie A. Durham, P.E. Chief, Floodplain Mgmt Branch Alabama OWR leslie.durham@adeca.alabama.gov	Colbert County	None

Standards: All Topographic Data Development work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, the Alabama Office of Water Resources shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP and submit support documentation and Certification of Work according to Appendix M (where Technical Support Data Notebook (TSDN) format is used, such shall be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal) so that all parties that needs it can access it as needed in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule.

- Digital contour data;
- Report summarizing methodology and results;
- Mass points and breaklines data;
- Gridded digital elevation model data;
- TIN data;
- Checkpoint analyses to assess the accuracy of data, including Root Mean Square Error calculations to support vertical accuracy;
- Identification of data voids and methods used to supplement data voids;
- National Geodetic Survey data sheets for Network Control Points used to control remote-sensing and ground surveys;
- Other supporting files consistent with the DCS in the G&S (see draft DCS language and coordinate with the Region regarding its appropriate use);
- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps taken during the preparation of the DFIRM as outlined in the approved QA/QC Plan; and

- A narrative from describing the scope of work, direction from FEMA, issues, information for next mapping partner, etc.
- A metadata file complying with the NFIP Metadata Profiles, must accompany the uploaded G&S compliant digital data. Where paper documentation is required by State Law for Professional certifications, you may submit the paper in addition to a scanned version of the paper for the digital record.

Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data

Responsible Mapping Partner: CTP Contractor

Scope: AMEC Earth and Environmental, Inc. or PBS&J Corporation shall perform an impartial review of the mapping data generated by the Alabama Office of Water Resources under Develop Topographic Data to ensure that these data are consistent with FEMA standards and standard engineering practice, and are sufficient to prepare the DFIRM. FEMA may audit or assist in these activities if deemed to be necessary by the Regional Project Officer.

Please note FEMA will also be performing periodic audits and overall study/project management to ensure study quality. The CTP will be responsible for addressing any and all comments resulting from independent QC, including re-submittal of deliverables as needed to pass technical review.

Standards: All Topographic Data Development work shall be reviewed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, the CTP Contractor shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP. Additionally, the TSDN format described in the G&S must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

This submittal will occur in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule.

- A Summary Report that describes the findings of the independent QA/QC review; and
- Recommendations to resolve any problems that are identified during the independent QA/QC review.

Acquire Base Map

Responsible Mapping Partner: CTP Contractor

Scope: Base Map Acquisition consists of obtaining the digital base map, raster format, for the project and as necessary, preparing the base map for use. The CTP Contractor shall provide the digital base map.

Standards: All Base Map Acquisition work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards. The DCS must be met for this deliverable to be acceptable.

Requirements:

- Obtain digital files (raster or vector) of the base map. In coordination with the partner who performed scoping, ensure that the FEMA Geospatial Data Coordination Policy and Implementation Guide are followed.
- Secure necessary permissions from the map source to allow FEMA's use and distribution of hardcopy and digital map products using the digital base map, free of charge.
- Review and supplement the content of the acquired base map to comply with the requirements of the G&S.

- For the base map components that have a mandatory data structure, convert the base map data to the format required in the G&S.
- Certify that the digital data meets the minimum standards and specifications that FEMA requires for DFIRM production.

In addition, the CTP Contractor shall address all concerns or questions regarding the base map that are raised during the Independent QC review performed by the Alabama Office of Water Resources, or during the PTS's Validate Content Submission Process. Table 1.6 Summary of Base Map summarizes the base map that will be used for each study area.

**Table 1.6 Summary of Planned Base Map
(To be updated in Scoping Report)**

Study Area	Description	Source
Houston County	0.5 foot and 1.0 foot Film, Color - 2007	Houston County
Autauga County	0.5 foot and 1.0 foot Digital, Color - 2010	Autauga County
Dallas County	0.5 foot and 1.0 foot Film, Color - 2005	Dallas County
Elmore County	0.5 foot and 1.0 foot Digital, Color - 2005	Elmore County
Lowndes County	0.5 foot and 1.0 foot Digital, Color - 2009	Lowndes County
Montgomery County	0.5 foot and 1.0 foot Film, Color - 2009	Montgomery County

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, the CTP Contractor shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP so that Alabama Office of Water Resources can access it for an independent QA/QC review in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule. A metadata file complying with the NFIP Metadata Profiles Specifications, must accompany the uploaded digital data. Additionally, the TSDN format described in the G&S must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- Digital base map files that comply with the G&S requirements
- Written certification that the digital data meet the minimum standards and specifications;
- Digital versions of draft text for inclusion in the FIS report;
- Documentation that FEMA can use the digital base map; and
- Documentation of the Datum, if appropriate.

Perform Independent QA/QC: Base Map

Responsible Mapping Partner: Alabama Office of Water Resources

Scope: The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall perform an impartial review of the base map acquired by the CTP Contractor to ensure it includes data consistent with FEMA standards and sufficient

to include on the DFIRM. Any needed edits should be made to the product to comply with FEMA standards.

Please note FEMA will also be performing periodic audits and overall study/project management to ensure study quality. The CTP Contractor will be responsible for addressing any and all comments resulting from independent QC, including re-submittal of deliverables as needed to pass technical review.

Standards: All independent QA/QC work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, the Alabama Office of Water Resources shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP. Additionally, the TSDN format described in the G&S must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

This submittal will occur in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule.

- A Summary Report that describes the findings of the independent QA/QC review;
- Recommendations to resolve any problems that are identified during the independent QA/QC review; and
- If the data is changed during review, then updated deliverables from previous tasks will be submitted at this time.

Develop Hydrologic Data

Responsible Mapping Partner: CTP Contractor

Scope: The CTP Contractor shall perform hydrologic analyses for approximately 4,792 square miles of drainage area for the flooding source(s) identified in Scoping Report. The CTP Contractor shall calculate peak flood discharges for the 10, 25, 50, 100 and 500 year events using Regression Equations, Gage Analysis, HEC-HMS or another suitable method according to the G&S. These flood discharges will be the basis for subsequent Hydraulic Analyses performed under this MAS. In addition, the CTP Contractor shall address all concerns or questions regarding the hydrologic analyses that are raised during the independent QA/QC review performed by an alternate Contractor during the QA/QC review.

If GIS-based modeling is used, the CTP Contractor shall document automated data processing and modeling algorithms, and provide the data to FEMA to ensure these are consistent with FEMA standards. Digital datasets (such as elevation, basin, or land use data) are to be documented and provided to FEMA for approval before performing the hydrologic analyses to ensure the datasets meet minimum requirements. If non-commercial (i.e., custom-developed) software is used for the analysis, then the CTP Contractor shall provide full user documentation, technical algorithm documentation, and the software to FEMA for review before performing the hydrologic analyses.

Table 1.7 Summary of Hydrologic Analysis

Study Area	Method	Square Miles of New Hydrology
Upper Alabama Watershed	Regression Equations, Gage Analysis, HEC-HMS or another suitable method according to the G&S	2,407
Upper Choctawhatchee; Lower Chattahoochee & Chipola Watersheds	Regression Equations, Gage Analysis, HEC-HMS or another suitable method according to the G&S	2,385

Standards: All Hydrologic Analyses work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, the CTP Contractor shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP so that the Alabama Office of Water Resources or alternate Contractor responsible for independent QA/QC can access it for a review in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule. A metadata file complying with the NFIP Metadata Profiles Specifications, must accompany the uploaded digital data. Additionally, the TSDN format described in the G&S must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- Digital copies of all hydrologic modeling (input and output) files for the 10, 25, 50, 100 and 500 year events;
- Digital Summary of Discharges Tables presenting discharge data for the flooding sources for which hydrologic analyses were performed;
- Digital versions of draft text for inclusion in the FIS report;
- Digital versions of all backup data used in the analysis including work maps;
- Format Hydrology Database or Data Delivery consistent with the DCS—in the G&S of all return periods (see draft DCS language and coordinate with the Region regarding its appropriate use);
- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps taken during the preparation of the DFIRM as outlined in the approved QA/QC Plan;
- For GIS-based modeling, deliverables shall include all input and output data, and GIS data layers; and
- Where paper documentation is required by State Law for Professional certifications, you may submit the paper in addition to a scanned version of the paper for the digital record.
- Table 1.7 Summary of Hydrologic Analysis is useful when multiple counties are involved in a project. The table to summarize the hydrology analysis that will be used for each study area and is useful to define the scope. The CTP Contractor shall summarize the hydrologic analysis for each study area in optional Table 1.7 Summary of Hydrologic Analysis.

Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydrologic Data

Responsible Mapping Partner: Alabama Office of Water Resources or CTP Contractor

Scope: The Alabama Office of Water Resources or CTP Contractor shall perform an impartial review of the technical, scientific, and other information submitted by the alternate Contractor specific to the hydrologic analyses to ensure that the data and modeling are consistent with FEMA standards and standard engineering practice, and are sufficient to prepare the DFIRM. FEMA may audit or assist in these activities if deemed to be necessary by the Regional Project Officer. This work shall include, at a minimum, the activities listed below.

Please note FEMA will also be performing periodic audits and overall study/project management to ensure study quality. The alternate Contractor specific to the hydrologic analyses will be responsible for addressing any and all comments resulting from independent QC, including re-submittal of deliverables as needed to pass technical review.

- Review the submittal for technical and regulatory adequacy, completeness of required information, and supporting data and documentation. The technical review is to focus on the following:
 - Use of acceptable models;
 - Use of appropriate methodology(ies);
 - Correctly applied methodology(ies)/model(s), including QC of input parameters;
 - Comparison with gage data and/or regression equations, if appropriate; and
 - Comparison with discharges for contiguous reaches or flooding sources throughout the watershed.
- Maintain records of all contacts, reviews, recommendations, and actions and make the data readily available to FEMA; and
- If data changed during review, then updated deliverables for previous tasks will be submitted at this time.

Standards: All Independent QA/QC work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, the Alabama Office of Water Resources or CTP Contractor shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP. This submittal will occur in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule.

- A Summary Report that describes the findings of the independent QA/QC review.
- Recommendations to resolve any problems that are identified during the independent QA/QC review.
- Where paper documentation is required by State Law for Professional certifications, you may submit the paper in addition to a scanned version of the paper for the digital record.

Additionally, the TSDN format described in the G&S must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

Develop Hydraulic Data

Responsible Mapping Partner: CTP Contractor

Scope: The CTP Contractor shall perform hydraulic analyses for approximately 1,166 miles of the study types listed in Table 1.8. The modeling will include the 10, 25, 50, 100 and 500 year events (as required) based on peak discharges computed under Hydrologic Analyses. The hydraulic methods used for this analysis will include base level and enhanced level hydraulic modeling. The base level (Zone A) will use an automated hydraulic model, and use the best available elevation data. It will not include field surveys, estimation of hydraulic openings, floodways, or mapped BFEs. The enhanced level (Zone AE) may include field surveys, floodways, and the 10, 25, 50, 100 and 500 year water surface profiles. HEC-RAS or another suitable method according to the G&S will be used. In addition a depth grid will be developed for newly studied areas and existing studied areas that are considered valid per the appropriate Procedure Memoranda.

The CTP Contractor shall use the cross-section and field data collected during Field Survey and the topographic data collected during the Topographic Data Collection, when appropriate, to perform the hydraulic analyses. The hydraulic analyses will be used to establish flood elevations and regulatory floodways for the subject flooding sources.

The CTP Contractor shall use the FEMA CHECK-2 or CHECK-RAS checking program to verify the reasonableness of the hydraulic analyses. To facilitate the independent QA/QC review, the CTP Contractor shall provide explanations for unresolved messages from the CHECK-2 or CHECK-RAS program, as appropriate. In addition, the CTP Contractor shall address all concerns or questions regarding the hydraulic analyses that are raised by the Alabama Office of Water Resources or the alternate Contractor responsible for independent QA/QC during the independent QA/QC review.

The CTP Contractor shall document automated data processing and modeling algorithms for GIS-based modeling and provide the data to FEMA for review to ensure these are consistent with the standards outlined above. Digital datasets are to be documented and provided to FEMA for approval before performing the hydraulic analyses to ensure the datasets meet minimum requirements. If non-commercial (i.e., custom-developed) software is used for the analyses, the CTP Contractor shall provide full user documentation, technical algorithm documentation, and software to FEMA for review before performing the hydraulic analyses.

Any flooding sources associated with a levee that are mapped as providing protection on effective FIRMs, but will not meet certification requirements for the new FIRMs, will require revised hydraulic analysis. This revised analysis should be done in accordance with the G&S, PMs 34, 43 and others that may be appropriate.

Table 1.8 Summary of Hydraulic Data

Study Area	Method	Total Miles of New Base level or Enhanced Level Hydraulics
Houston County (Upper Choctawhatchee, Lower Chattahoochee & Chipola Watersheds)	HEC-RAS or another suitable method according to the G&S	465.0 – Base Level 79.1 – Enhanced Level
Autauga County (Upper Alabama Watershed)	HEC-RAS or another suitable method according to the G&S	263.0 – Base Level 98.5 – Enhanced Level
Dallas County (Upper Alabama Watershed)	HEC-RAS or another suitable method according to the G&S	64.5 – Enhanced Level
Elmore County (Upper Alabama Watershed)	HEC-RAS or another suitable method according to the G&S	19.0 – Base Level 75.8 – Enhanced Level (Includes 22.0 miles of Alabama River study which is also included in Montgomery County Enhanced Level mileage)
Lowndes County (Upper Alabama Watershed)	HEC-RAS or another suitable method according to the G&S	36.9 – Enhanced Level (This Alabama River study mileage is also included in Autauga County Enhanced Level mileage)
Montgomery County (Upper Alabama Watershed)	HEC-RAS or another suitable method according to the G&S	64.5 – Enhanced Level

Note: See Appendix A for a detailed mileage summary by Watershed and County

Standards: All Hydraulic Data work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, the CTP Contractor shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP so that the Alabama Office of Water Resources or the alternate Contractor responsible for independent QA/QC can access it for an independent QA/QC review in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule. A metadata file complying with the NFIP Metadata Profiles Specifications, must accompany the uploaded compliant digital data. Additionally, the TSDN format described in the G&S must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- Digital profiles of the 10, 25, 50, 100 and 500 year events- representing existing conditions using the FEMA RASLOT program or similar software;
- Digital Floodway Data Tables for each flooding source that is compatible with the DFIRM database;
- Digital hydraulic modeling (input and output) files;
- Digital tables with range of Manning’s “n” values;

- Explanations for unresolved messages from the CHECK-2 or CHECK-RAS program, as appropriate;
- Digital versions of all backup data used in the analyses;
- Digital versions of draft text for inclusion in the FIS report;
- Format Hydraulic Database or Data Delivery consistent with the DCS – in the G&S (see draft DCS language and coordinate with the Region regarding its appropriate use);
- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps taken during the preparation of the DFIRM as outlined in the approved QA/QC Plan;
- For GIS-based modeling, deliverables include all input and output data, GIS data layers, and final products in the format of the DFIRM database structure;
- Depth grids for all studied streams for all frequencies as required; and
- Where paper documentation is required by State Law for Professional certifications, you may submit the paper in addition to a scanned version of the paper for the digital record. Appropriate leverage information includes who paid for the data and the amount of data used by the Risk MAP Project; and
- In cases where the MAS/SOW includes multiple counties it is beneficial to summarize the hydraulic analysis that will be used for each study area in Table 1.8 Summary of Hydraulic Data. The CTP Contractor shall summarize the hydraulic data for each study area in optional Table 1.8 Summary of Hydraulic Data.

Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydraulic Data

Responsible Mapping Partner: Alabama Office of Water Resources or CTP Contractor

Scope: The Alabama Office of Water Resources or CTP Contractor shall perform an impartial review of the technical, scientific, and other information submitted by the alternate Contractor specific to Hydraulic Analyses to ensure that the data and modeling are consistent with FEMA standards and standard engineering practice, and are sufficient to revise the FIRM. FEMA may audit or assist in these activities if deemed to be necessary by the Regional Project Officer. This work shall include, at a minimum, the activities listed below.

Please note FEMA will also be performing periodic audits and overall study/project management to ensure study quality. The alternate Contractor specific to Hydraulic Analyses will be responsible for addressing any and all comments resulting from independent QC, including re-submittal of deliverables as needed to pass technical review.

- Review the submittal for technical and regulatory adequacy, completeness of required information, and supporting data and documentation. The technical review is to focus on the following:
 - Use of acceptable model(s);
 - Starting water-surface elevations;
 - Cross-section geometry;
 - Manning’s “n” values and expansion/contraction coefficients;
 - Bridge and culvert modeling;
 - Flood discharges;
 - Regulatory floodway computation methods; and

- Tie-in to upstream and downstream non-revised Flood Profiles.
- Use the CHECK-2 or CHECK-RAS program, as appropriate, to flag potential problems and focus review efforts.
- Maintain records of all contacts, reviews, recommendations, and actions and make the data readily available to FEMA.
- Maintain an archive of all data submitted for hydraulic modeling review. (All supporting data must be retained for three years from the date a funding recipient submits its final expenditure report to FEMA, and once the study is effective all associated data should be submitted to the FEMA library); and
- If data changed during review, then updated deliverables for previous tasks will be submitted at this time.

Standards: All independent QA/QC work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, the Alabama Office of Water Resources or CTP Contractor shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP. Additionally, the TSDN format described in the G&S must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 -- Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- A Summary Report that describes the findings of the independent QA/QC review;
- Recommendations to resolve any problems that are identified during the independent QA/QC review; and
- If the data changed during the Hydrologic and/or Hydraulic Analyses QA/QC process, then the updated and verified deliverables from these activities will be resubmitted at this time.

This submittal will occur in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule.

Perform Coastal Analysis

Responsible Mapping Partner: CTP Contractor

Scope: As stated in the FY09 MAS, the CTP Contractor is performing coastal flood hazard analyses for approximately 175 transects along 211.0 miles of shoreline including the following coastal flooding sources: Mississippi Sound, Mobile Bay and Gulf of Mexico. The coastal analyses scope in this MAS is to perform coastal flood hazard analyses for approximately 40 additional transects to enhance the FY09 study. The coastal flood hazard analyses are to include: erosion, overland propagation of wave heights analysis, wave runup, Primary Frontal Dune (PFD) determination, and coastal structures assessment. Stillwater Elevation (SWEL) determinations and wave setup modeling will be obtained from the Northwest Florida Water Management District. The CTP Contractor shall address all concerns or questions regarding the Coastal Flood Hazard Analyses that are raised during the independent QA/QC review.

Specifically, the coastal flood hazard analysis will include the following subtasks:

Erosion and PFD Determination

Dune assessment and erosion will be performed following the 540 sq ft rule, as computed by FEMA's Coastal Hazard and Mapping Program (CHAMP). The primary frontal dune will be identified and assessed, using the LiDAR data, in order to determine the inland extent of the VE Zones at the landward toe of the dunes. The eroded profile, where applicable, will then be used for the analysis of wave heights and runup.

Overland Wave Height Analysis

The obstruction types identified during the Field Survey activity including buildings, vegetation, topographic and other cultural features will be used as input in WHAFIS 3.0 (or higher) to determine wave propagation and attenuation overland.

Wave Runup 2%

The 2007 update to the Atlantic and Gulf Coasts Coastal G&S required use of the 2% wave runup. The concept of the 2% wave runup (versus the mean wave runup previously calculated for FEMA studies) is introduced as the highest 2% of wave runups events. If high dunes or bluffs exist, their steeper profiles may cause wave runup to be greater than the calculated wave crest. RUNUP 2.0, TAW method and the SPM method will be used to calculate runup, where most appropriate.

Any flooding sources associated with a levee that are mapped as providing protection on effective FIRMs, but will not meet certification requirements for the new FIRMs, will require revised hydraulic analysis. This revised analysis should be done in accordance with the G&S, PMs 34, 43 and others that may be appropriate.

Standards: All Coastal Flood Hazard Analyses work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, the CTP Contractor shall make available to FEMA the following products by uploading the digital data to the MIP so that the Alabama Office of Water Resources or the alternate Contractor responsible for independent QA/QC can access it for an independent QA/QC review in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule. A metadata file complying with the NFIP Metadata Profiles Specifications, must accompany the uploaded compliant digital data. Additionally, the TSDN format described in the G&S must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 - Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- Digital wave envelope profiles for each transect representing the 1-percent-annual-chance stillwater elevation including wave setup or runup elevations, location of the heel of the PFD, and ground profile conditions including eroded dune profile;
- Digital versions of draft text for inclusion in the FIS report;
- Draft work maps showing each transect located accordingly;
- Digital coastal modeling (input and output files);
- Digital versions of any other supporting computations;
- All backup data used in the analyses;

- Coastal Hydrology Database or Data Delivery consistent with the G&S;
- Coastal Interim Data Submittals consistent with the G&S;
- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps taken during the preparation of the DFIRM as outlined in the approved QA/QC Plan; and
- Where paper documentation is required by State Law for Professional certifications, you may submit the paper in addition to a scanned version of the paper for the digital record.

The CTP Contractor shall submit draft digital work maps showing the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries, BFEs, LimWA, and flood insurance risk zones and a coastal study technical documentation notebook with all backup data, description of methodology, and input and output files used in the analyses and mapping as discussed in the G&S under the agreement stated in MAS No. FY09.AL.

Perform Independent QA/QC: Coastal Analysis

All Independent QA/QC tasks regarding coastal analysis will be performed under the agreement stated in MAS No. FY09.AL.

Perform Floodplain Mapping

Responsible Mapping Partner: CTP Contractor

Scope for Enhanced Riverine (Zone AE): The CTP Contractor shall delineate the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries and the regulatory floodway boundaries (if required) and any other applicable elements for the flooding sources for which hydrologic, enhanced hydraulic, and/or coastal analyses were performed. The CTP Contractor shall incorporate all new or revised hydrologic, hydraulic, and/or coastal modeling and shall use the topographic data acquired under Develop Topographic Data to delineate the floodplain and regulatory floodway boundaries on a digital work map.

Scope for Refinement or Creation of Zone A: The CTP Contractor shall delineate the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries for the flooding sources in the Scoping Report. The CTP Contractor shall use existing topographic data or the topographic data acquired under Develop Topographic Data to delineate the floodplain boundaries on a digital work map. All Zone A boundaries must be supported with a model.

The CTP Contractor shall incorporate the results of all effective Letters of Map Change (LOMCs) for all affected communities on the DFIRM and provide to the appropriate PTS the required submittals for incorporation into the National Flood Hazard Layer (NFHL). Also, the CTP Contractor shall address all concerns or questions regarding Floodplain Mapping that are raised by the Alabama Office of Water Resources or the alternate contractor responsible for independent QA/QC during the independent QA/QC review.

The CTP Contractor will provide the data to FEMA, at the time of DFIRM data submission, to update the Mid-Term Levee Inventory (MLI).

Standards: All Floodplain Mapping work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards. Mapping quality standards must be consistent with PM 38, dated October 17, 2007. The CTP Contractor will perform self-certification audits for the Floodplain Boundary Standards, as described in PM 38 and all subsequent revisions, for all flood hazard areas.

The CTP Contractor assigned the floodplain mapping task will complete all activities pertaining to levees in accordance with the G&S, and all levee PMs.

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, and upon completion of floodplain mapping for all flooding sources in this project, the CTP Contractor shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP so that the Alabama Office of Water Resources or the alternate contractor responsible for independent QA/QC can access it for the independent QA/QC review in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 – Schedule.

- Digital work map showing the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundary delineations, regulatory floodway boundary delineations, cross sections, BFEs, flood insurance risk zone designation labels, gutters, PFD, and all applicable base map features;
- Draft DFIRM database prepared in accordance with the requirements in G&S;
- Digital versions of input and output for any computer programs that were used consistent with the DCS–in the G&S (see draft language and coordinate with the Region regarding its appropriate usage);
- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps taken during the preparation of the DFIRM as outlined in the approved QA/QC Plan;
- Any backup or supplemental information including supporting calculations and assumptions used in the mapping required for the independent QA/QC review of Hydrologic, Coastal and /or Hydraulic Analyses and Floodplain Mapping consistent with the DCS–in the G&S (see draft language and coordinate with the Region regarding its appropriate usage);
- An explanation for the use of existing topography for the studied reaches, if appropriate;
- Written summary of the analysis methodologies;
- Digital versions of draft FIS report, Floodway Data Tables and updated profiles including all profiles and tables converted appropriate datum, as well as any other necessary items for the finalization of the preliminary FIS;
- If automated GIS-based models are applied, all input data, output data, intermediate data processing products, and GIS data layers shall be submitted consistent with the DCS–in the G&S (see draft language and coordinate with the Region regarding its appropriate usage);
- Where paper documentation is required by State Law for Professional certifications, you may submit the paper in addition to a scanned version of the paper for the digital record;
- A metadata file complying with the NFIP Metadata Profiles Specifications, must accompany the compliant digital data; and
- Additionally, support documentation and Certification of Work shall be submitted according to Appendix M. Where Technical Support Data Notebook (TSDN) format is used, such shall be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal. The mapping for the remaining flooding sources including any non-revised digital panels and all

merged revised and non-revised floodplain mapping data is to be submitted for the Independent QA/QC review at the completion of this activity.

This submittal will occur in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule.

Perform Independent QA/QC: Floodplain Mapping

Responsible Mapping Partner: Alabama Office of Water Resources or CTP Contractor

Scope: The Alabama Office of Water Resources or CTP Contractor shall perform an impartial review of the floodplain mapping submitted by the alternate Contractor specific to Floodplain Mapping to ensure that the results of the analyses performed are accurately represented, the Redelineation of existing data on new, updated topography is appropriate, and to ensure that the new DFIRM panels accurately represent the information shown on the effective FIRMs and FBFMs for the unrevised areas that are mapped. FEMA may audit or assist in these activities if deemed to be necessary by the Regional Project Officer. This work shall include, at a minimum, the activities listed below.

- Review the cross sections for proper location and orientation on the work map and agreement with the Floodway Data Table.
- Review the regulatory floodway widths for agreement with the widths shown in the Floodway Data Table and the results of the hydraulic modeling.
- Review the floodplain widths at cross sections as shown on the work maps to ensure the data matches the Floodway Data Table.
- Review the floodplain boundaries as shown on the work maps to ensure the data matches the Flood Profiles.
- For non-revised floodplain areas, the 1- and 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain boundaries agree with the floodplain boundaries shown on the FIRM, the contour lines, other topographic information, and planimetric information shown on the DFIRM base.
- Road and floodplain relationships are maintained for all unrevised areas.
- Review the flood insurance risk zones as shown on the work maps to ensure the data are labeled properly.
- Review the DFIRM mapping files to ensure the data were prepared in accordance with the requirements in G&S.
- Review the metadata files to ensure the data includes all required information shown in the NFIP Metadata Profiles Specifications.

Please note FEMA will also be performing periodic audits and overall study/project management to ensure study quality. The alternate Contractor specific to Floodplain Mapping will be responsible for addressing any and all comments resulting from independent QC, including re-submittal of deliverables as needed to pass technical review.

Standards: All Independent QA/QC work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, the Alabama Office of Water Resources or CTP Contractor shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to MIP. Additionally,

the TSDN format described in the G&S must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- A Summary Report that describes the findings of the QA/QC review, noting any deficiencies in or agreeing with the mapping results;
- Recommendations to resolve any problems that are identified during the independent QA/QC review;
- An annotated work map with all questions and/or concerns indicated, if necessary; and
- If data changed during review, then updated deliverables for previous tasks will be submitted at this time.

This submittal will occur in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule.

Develop DFIRM Database

Responsible Mapping Partner: CTP Contractor

Scope: The CTP Contractor shall prepare the database in accordance with G&S, for upload to the MIP. The CTP Contractor will be preparing the database for this project in the Standard format. The database shall be produced in accordance with the G&S. The CTP Contractor shall coordinate with appropriate Mapping Partners, as necessary, to resolve any problems that are identified during development of the DFIRM Database.

Standards: All DFIRM Database work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards. Perform appropriate QR activities.

Deliverables: In accordance with G&S, the CTP Contractor shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP. Additionally, the Technical Support Data Notebook format described in G&S must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- DFIRM database files prepared in accordance with the requirements in G&S and in the required format(s).
- A metadata file complying with the FEMA NFIP Metadata Profile Specifications.

Produce Preliminary Map Products

Responsible Mapping Partner: CTP Contractor

Scope: The CTP Contractor shall apply the final FEMA DFIRM graphic and database specifications to the DFIRM files produced under Floodplain Mapping. This work shall include adding all required annotation, line pattern, area shading, and map collar information (e.g., map borders, title blocks, legends, and notes to user). The CTP Contractor shall coordinate with those Mapping Partners responsible for Floodplain Mapping and/or Redelineation, as necessary, to resolve any problems that are identified during development of the DFIRM Database and graphics.

Preliminary Summary of Map Actions (SOMA) Preparation: The CTP Contractor shall prepare Preliminary SOMAs for all affected communities, if appropriate. The SOMA shall list pertinent information regarding LOMCs that will be affected by the issuance of the DFIRM (i.e., superseded, incorporated, revalidated).

Standards: All DFIRM Database work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards. All work must pass the automated and visual "National QA/QC" reviews prior to the distribution of the preliminary copies of the DFIRM and FIS report and the Preliminary SOMA. Perform appropriate QR activities.

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, the CTP Contractor shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP. A metadata file complying with the NFIP Metadata Profiles Specifications, must accompany the compliant digital data. Additionally, the TSDN format described in the G&S must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- Preliminary DFIRM database or revised Preliminary DFIRM database prepared in accordance with the requirements in G&S;
- Provide assessment products as defined during scoping process;
- FIS Report and the Preliminary SOMA prepared using the SOMA Tool on the MIP;
- Complete set of plots of DFIRM panels showing all detailed flood hazard information at a suitable scale;
- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps taken during the preparation of the DFIRM as outlined in approved QA/QC Plan;
- Passing Quality Review report;
- QUALITY REVIEW 2: Auto Validation of Preliminary DFIRM Database;
- QUALITY REVIEW 3: Visual Review of Preliminary Map Panels and FIS;
- QUALITY REVIEW 4: Validate BFE Notice and CEO Letters; Publish Proposed Base Flood Elevations (BFEs) in Federal Register;
- Update CNMS with the final documentation showing newly validated and/or areas with remaining needs, as appropriate;
- Refined HAZUS deliverable (see Risk Assessment Procedure Memorandum for details); and
- Risk Assessment Suite to include, but not limited to:(see Risk Assessment Procedure Memorandum for details)
 - Depth Grids
 - 'Changes Since Last Map' map
 - Contributing Flood Risk Factors
 - Watershed Report and Database

This submittal will occur in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule.

Perform Independent QA/QC: Produce Preliminary Map Products

Responsible Mapping Partner: CTP Contractor

Scope: Upon completion of the floodplain mapping and redelineation activities, the alternate CTP Contractor shall perform an impartial review of the DFIRM spatial database to determine if it meets current FEMA database specifications. In addition, the alternate CTP Contractor shall review the DFIRM to ensure it meets current FEMA graphic specifications. The CTP Contractor shall coordinate with other Mapping Partners, as necessary, to resolve any problems identified during this QA/QC review. FEMA may audit or assist in these activities if deemed to be necessary by the Regional Project Officer.

Please note FEMA will also be performing periodic audits and overall study/project management to ensure study quality. The CTP Contractor specific to production of preliminary map products will be responsible for addressing any and all comments resulting from independent QC, including re-submittal of deliverables as needed to pass technical review.

This work shall ensure that the requirements below are met.

- All required DFIRM features are accurately and legibly labeled and following the examples shown in the FEMA DFIRM specifications. This includes all flood insurance risk zones, BFEs, gutters, cross sections, transects, studied streams and shorelines, mapped political entities, and all roads within and adjacent to the 1-percent-annual-chance floodplains.
- All DFIRM features are correctly symbolized with the appropriate symbol, line pattern, or area shading and follow the requirements in G&S.
- All map collar information is complete, correct, and follows the requirements specified in G&S.
- Preliminary DFIRM database is in a GIS file and database format as specified in FEMA's G&S, and conform to those specifications for content and attribution.
- DFIRM database files are in one of the database formats specified in FEMA's G&S, and conform to those specifications for content and attribution.
- Assess risk assessment products for compliance with Guidance documents.

Standards: All DFIRM Database Development work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 - Standards.

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, the CTP Contractor shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP. Additionally, the TSDN format described in the G&S must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

This submittal will occur in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 – Schedule.

- A Summary Report that describes the findings of the QA/QC review noting any deficiencies in or agreeing with the mapping results and the results of all automated or manual QA/QC steps taken during the independent QA/QC review;

- Recommendations to resolve any problems that are identified during the independent QA/QC review;
- An annotated copy of the DFIRM with all questions and/or concerns indicated, if necessary; and
- If the data changed during the QA/QC process, then the updated deliverables from Floodplain Mapping and Redelineation will be resubmitted at this time.

Distribute Preliminary Map Products

Responsible Mapping Partners: Alabama Office of Water Resources

Scope: Preliminary Map Products consists of the final preparation, review, and distribution of the Preliminary copies of the DFIRM and FIS report and the Preliminary SOMA and Risk Assessment products for community officials and the general public review and comment. FEMA may audit or assist in these activities if deemed to be necessary by the Regional Project Officer. The activities to be performed are summarized below.

Preliminary Transmittal Letter Preparation: The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall prepare letters and transmit the Preliminary copies of the DFIRM and FIS report and related enclosures to all affected communities, all other Project Team members, the State NFIP Coordinator, the FEMA Regional Office, and others as directed by FEMA. This letter may be prepared for FEMA only or for signature by FEMA and the Alabama Office of Water Resources.

Distribution of Preliminary DFIRM and FIS Report: The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall distribute the Preliminary copies of the DFIRM and FIS report to all affected communities, all other Project Team members, the State NFIP Coordinator, the FEMA Regional Office, and others as directed by FEMA.

News Release Preparation: The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall use the BFEs on the Web tool in accordance with PM 44 to create BFE notices for studies that result in new or modified BFEs. The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall prepare the BFE determination letters as well as the news release notifications of BFE changes for all affected communities. The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall perform QA/QC reviews of the notices for accuracy and compliance with FEMA format requirements. The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall file the notifications for later submittal to FEMA for review.

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, the Alabama Office of Water Resources shall make the appropriate deliverables available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP. Additionally, the TSDN format described in the G&S must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

- Preliminary transmittal letters shall be prepared and transmitted. These letters and any additional letters requested by FEMA shall be prepared in accordance with the current version of the FEMA *Document Control Procedures Manual* and in conjunction with Guidance provided by the Region and/or its contractor.
- A preliminary copy of the DFIRM and FIS report, including all updated data tables and Flood Profiles shall be mailed to the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and floodplain administrator of each affected community, all other Project Team members, the State NFIP Coordinator, the FEMA Regional Office, and others as directed by FEMA.

- Preliminary SOMAs, prepared in accordance with FEMA requirements, shall be provided as appropriate.
- A Summary Report that describes and provides the results of all automated or manual QA/QC review steps taken during the final preparation of the preliminary DFIRM shall be provided as outlined in the approved QA/QC Plan.
- The Alabama Office of Water Resources will submit a summary of outreach activities and any changes made in the outreach approach based on the actual implementation.

This submittal will occur in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule.

Post-Preliminary Map Production

Responsible Mapping Partners: Alabama Office of Water Resources, CTP Contractor, FEMA

Scope: Post-Preliminary Map Production includes coordination with FEMA and the Community to schedule a Community Meeting(s) for review of the Preliminary DFIRM, if required. This activity consists of finalizing the DFIRM and FIS report after the Preliminary copies of the DFIRM and FIS report have been issued to community officials and the public for review and comment. FEMA may audit or assist in these activities if deemed to be necessary by the Regional Project Officer. The activities to be performed are summarized below.

Community Coordination Meeting: If a community coordination meeting is required it is recommended that it be held within 60 days of the issuance of the Preliminary DFIRM and, the Alabama Office of Water Resources shall arrange for and verify that the following activities are completed:

- Establish invitee list,
- Schedule meeting date and place,
- Complete and Distribute Meeting Notice/Letter,
- Record Meeting Minutes, and
- Identify any/all communities with BFE changes for required appeal period.

Initiation of Statutory 90-Day Appeal Period: When required, upon completion of a 30-day community comment period and/or final coordination meeting with the affected communities, FEMA and the Alabama Office of Water Resources shall arrange for and verify that the following activities are completed in accordance with the current version of the FEMA G&S, appropriate PMs and Document Control Procedures Manual:

- The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall prepare the appropriate notices (Proposed Rules) that are to be published in the *Federal Register*. The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall then deliver those notices to FEMA for publication.
- Proposed BFE determination letters are sent to the community CEOs and floodplain administrators.
- Ensure that news release notifications of BFE changes are published in prominent newspapers with local circulation in accordance with 44 CFR.

- When the Alabama Office of Water Resources holds public meetings to present and discuss the results of this Risk MAP Project, FEMA may attend the meetings and assist where possible, if requested.

Resolution of Appeals and Protests: The Alabama Office of Water Resources and its contractor shall review and resolve appeals and protests received during the 90-day appeal period. For each appeal and protest, the following activities shall be conducted as appropriate:

- Initial processing and acknowledgment of submittal;
- Technical review of submittal;
- Preparation of letter(s) requesting additional supporting data;
- Performance of revised analyses;
- Preparation of a draft resolution letter for appeals and protests for signature with FEMA and revised DFIRM and FIS report materials for FEMA review;
- Update CNMS as appropriate when resolving appeals/protests; and
- Update the Risk Assessment Suite as needed for appeal resolutions.

The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall mail all associated correspondence upon authorization by FEMA. While protests may be signed by a partner only, appeals must have at least a FEMA co-signature.

Preparation of Special Correspondence: The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall support FEMA in responding to comments not received within the 90-day appeal period (referred to as “special correspondence”) including drafting responses for FEMA review when appropriate and finalizing responses for co-signature. The Alabama Office of Water Resources also shall mail the final correspondence (and enclosures, if appropriate) and distribute appropriate copies of the correspondence and enclosures upon receipt of authorization from FEMA.

Revision of FIRM and FIS Report: If necessary, the Alabama Office of Water Resources and its contractor shall work together with FEMA to revise the DFIRM and FIS report and shall distribute revised Preliminary copies of the DFIRM and FIS report to the CEO and floodplain administrator of each affected community, all other Project Team members, the State NFIP Coordinator, the FEMA Regional Office, and others as directed by FEMA.

Final SOMA Preparation: The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall prepare Final SOMAs for the affected communities with assistance from FEMA, as appropriate.

Processing of Letter of Final Determination: The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall work with FEMA to establish the effective date for the DFIRM and FIS report and shall prepare Letters of Final Determination (LFDs) for each affected community for FEMA review in coordination with the Region and its contractor, and in accordance with the FEMA *Document Control Procedures Manual*. FEMA or its designated contractor shall mail the final signed LFDs and enclosures and distribute appropriate copies of the signed LFDs. All work must pass the automated and visual “National QA/QC” reviews and review of LFD prior to the distribution of the LFD.

The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall prepare the appropriate notices (Final Rules) that are to be published in the *Federal Register*. The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall then deliver those notices to FEMA for publication.

Resilience Meeting: The final Risk MAP project outreach and communication effort will occur sometime between the LFD and shortly after adoption. Its purpose will be to provide final results of the project to the local stakeholders, develop an action plan so they can use the results of the Risk MAP project to implement risk reduction measures, and obtain feedback on how the project could have been implemented better, including how risk communications could be improved in the future. From a planning perspective, the resilience meeting will be used for future scenario planning, updating of local mitigation plans if they were not updated during the Risk MAP project, and setting the stage for a more process-oriented approach for the next update of flood hazard data. A detailed meeting plan that describes the objective, activities, audiences, timeline, and outcomes this meeting will be provided by FEMA.

Processing of Final DFIRM and FIS Report for Printing: The Alabama Office of Water Resources and its Contractor shall prepare final reproduction materials for the DFIRM and FIS report and provide these materials to FEMA in accordance with appropriate Procedure Memorandums for printing by the Map Service Center. The Alabama Office of Water Resources and its contractor shall also prepare the appropriate paperwork to accompany the DFIRM and FIS report (including Print Processing Worksheet, Printing Requisition Forms, and Community Map Actions Form) and transmittal letters to the community CEOs.

Revalidation Letter Processing: The Alabama Office of Water Resources and its Contractor shall prepare and distribute letters for FEMA signature to the community CEOs and floodplain administrators to notify the affected communities about LOMCs for which determinations will remain in effect after the DFIRM and FIS report become effective.

Archiving Data: The Alabama Office of Water Resources and its Contractor shall ensure that technical and administrative support data are packaged in the FEMA required format and stored properly in the library archives until transmitted to the FEMA Engineering Study Data Package Facility. In addition, the Alabama Office of Water Resources will maintain copies of all data for a period of no less than three years.

Standards: All Post Preliminary DFIRM work shall be performed in accordance with the standards specified in Section 5 – Standards. Perform appropriate QR activities.

Deliverables: In accordance with the G&S, the Alabama Office of Water Resources and its Contractor shall make the following products available to FEMA by uploading the digital data to the MIP. A metadata file complying with the NFIP Metadata Profiles Specifications, must accompany the compliant digital data. Additionally, the TSDN format described in the G&S must be delivered in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal.

This submittal will occur in accordance with the schedule outlined in Section 6 - Schedule.

- Documentation that the news releases were published in accordance with FEMA requirements;
- Documentation that the appropriate *Federal Register* notices (Proposed and Final Rules) were published in accordance with FEMA requirements;
- Draft and final Special Correspondence (and all associated enclosures, backup data, and other related information) for FEMA review and signature, as appropriate;
- Draft and final Appeal and Protest acknowledgment, additional data, and resolution letters (and all associated enclosures, backup data, and other related information) for FEMA review and signature, as appropriate;

- Draft and final LFDs (and all associated enclosures, backup data, and other related information) for FEMA review and signature;
- DFIRM digital files and final FIS report materials including all updated data tables and Flood Profiles;
- Provide one hard copy and digital DFIRM products to the community;
- Paperwork for the final DFIRM and FIS report materials;
- Transmittal letters for the printed DFIRM and FIS report;
- LOMC Revalidation Letters, if appropriate;
- Completed, organized, and archived technical and administrative support data;
- Completed, organized, and archived case files and flood elevation dockets; and
- CNMS updates.

SECTION 2—TECHNICAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT DATA SUBMITTAL

The Project Team members for this Risk MAP Project that have responsibilities for activities included in this MAS shall comply with the data submittal requirements summarized below and in appropriate Procedure Memorandums.

All supporting documentation for the activities in this MAS/SOW shall be submitted according to Appendix M - include a flood elevation determination docket (FEDD) folder. Where Technical Support Data Notebook (TSDN) format is used, such shall be submitted in accordance with Section 2 – Technical and Administrative Support Data Submittal. Table 2.1 Mapping Activities and Applicable TSDN Sections indicates the sections of the TSDN that apply to each mapping activity. Submittals must be made to the appropriate PTS for a review of required materials. As needed, the CTP will work with the PTS to ensure that all required documents are included in the TSDN and will respond to requests from the PTS for additional information.

If any issues arise that could affect the completion of an activity within the proposed scope or budget, the responsible Mapping Partner shall complete a Special Problem Report (SPR) as soon as possible after the issue is identified and submitted to FEMA. The SPR is to describe the issue and propose possible resolutions. (For additional information on SPRs, refer to the G&S.)

Table 2.1- Mapping Activities and Applicable TSDN Sections

Mapping Activities	TSDN Section												
	General Documentation	Special Problem Reports	Telephone Conversation Reports	Meeting Minutes/ Reports	General Correspondence	Hydrologic Analyses	Engineering Analyses	Hydraulic Analyses	Key to Cross-Section Labeling	Key to Transect Labeling	Draft FIS Report	Mapping Information	Miscellaneous Reference Information
Scoping		X	X	X	X							X	X
Outreach													
Perform Field Survey		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X			X
Develop Topographic Data		X	X	X	X							X	X
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data		X	X	X	X							X	X
Acquire Base Map		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X

Develop Hydrology		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydrologic Data		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X
Develop Hydraulic Data		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydraulic Data		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X
Perform Coastal Analysis		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X	X		X
Perform Flood-plain Mapping (and Re-delineation)		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X
Perform Independent QA/QC: Flood Plain Mapping		X	X	X	X	X		X	X	X		X	X
Develop DFIRM Database		X	X	X	X							X	X
Produce/Distribute Preliminary Map Products		X	X	X	X							X	X
Post-Preliminary Map Production		X	X	X	X							X	X

SECTION 3—PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE

The mapping activities outlined in this MAS will be completed as specified in the Agreement Articles of the Cooperative Agreement. The Mapping Activities may be terminated at the option of FEMA or the Alabama Office of Water Resources in accordance with the provisions of the Partnership Agreement dated September 30, 2002. If these mapping activities are terminated, all products produced to date must be returned and updated into the MIP and the remaining funds from uncompleted activities, provided by FEMA for this MAS, will be returned to FEMA.

SECTION 4—FUNDING/LEVERAGE

FEMA is providing funding, in the amount of \$4,991,962, to the Alabama Office of Water Resources for the completion of this Risk MAP Project. The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall provide any additional resources required to complete the assigned activities for this Risk MAP Project. During the scoping process, additional needs may be identified. Activities associated with any additional needs would be performed based on availability of additional funds. The leverage listed below includes in-kind services and blue book values for acquired information (i.e. base map data, hydrologic and hydraulic analyses, etc.). These values should also be reported in the MIP by the appropriate task owner. The current Blue Book (2.0) is dated January 2009 and can be downloaded from FEMA's Information Resource Library at http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/fhm/ctp_info.shtm#4.

Table 4.1 Contribution and Leverage (Cash Match Only)

Project Task	FEMA Contribution (Cash Match Funds Only)	Partner Contribution	% Partner Leverage (of total project cost)	Total Project Cost
Upper Alabama Watershed			30.7	
Upper Choctawhatchee, Lower Chattahoochee & Chipola Watersheds			26.1	
TOTAL FUNDING AMOUNTS			28.4	

Final leverage dollars or units shall be entered as applicable within the Manage Data Development task in the MIP workflow.

SECTION 5—STANDARDS

The standards relevant to this MAS are provided in Tables 5.1 Applicable Standards for Project Activities and 5.2 Project Activities and Applicable Portions of FEMA G&S. Information on the correct volume and appendix of the G&S to be referenced for each mapping activity are summarized in Table 5.2 for convenience. However, all mapping partners working on a Risk MAP Project are responsible for complying with all appropriate requirements in FEMA's G&S including published draft guidelines and PMs.

These guidelines may be downloaded from the FEMA Flood Hazard Mapping website at http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/fhm/dl_cgs.shtm. The Geospatial Data Coordination Policy and the Geospatial Data Coordination Implementation Guide are located at <https://hazards.fema.gov> under "Tools & Links."

Table 5.1- Applicable Standards for Project Activities

Applicable Standards	Activities																	
	Scoping	Outreach	Perform Field Survey	Develop Topographic Data	Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	Acquire Base Map	Coastal Analysis	Perform Independent QA/QC: Coastal Analysis	Develop Hydrologic Data	Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydrologic Data	Develop Hydraulic Data	Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydraulic Data	Perform Floodplain Mapping (Inc. Redelineation)	Perform Independent QA/QC: Floodplain Mapping	Develop DFIRM Database	Produce/Distribute Preliminary Map Products	Post-Preliminary Map Production	Risk Assessment
<i>Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners and Procedure Memorandums</i>	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
FEMA's Geospatial Data Coordination Policy	X			X		X												
FEMA's Geospatial Data Coordination Implementation Guide	X			X		X												
Engineer Manual 1110-2-1003, <i>Hydrographic Surveys</i> (USACE), January 1, 2002	X		X															
"Numerical Models Accepted by FEMA for NFIP Usage," Updated April 2003	X						X	X	X	X	X	X						
NFIP Metadata Profile Specifications	X			X	X								X	X	X	X	X	X
<i>Document Control Procedures Manual</i>	X	X															X	X
<i>44 Code of Federal Regulations Parts 65, 66 and 67</i>	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
<i>Data Sharing Agreement</i>																		

Table 5.2- Project Activities and Applicable Portions of FEMA Guidelines and Specifications

Activity Description	Applicable Volume, Section/Subsection, and Appendix
Scoping	Volume 1
	Appendix I
	Scoping Report document
	44 Code of Federal Regulations Part 66 and 67
Outreach	Volume 1
	Appendix I
Perform Field Survey	Volume 1
	Appendices A, B, C, F, and M
Develop Topographic Data and Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	Volume 1, Appendices A and M
Acquire Base Map and Perform Independent QA/QC: Base Map	Volume 1
	Appendices A, K, L, and M
Develop Hydrologic Data and Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydrologic Data	Volume 1 Appendices A, C, E, F, G, H, and M
Develop Hydraulic Data and Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydraulic Data	Volume 1 Appendices A, B, C, E, F, G, H, and M
Perform Coastal Analysis Hazard Analyses and Perform Independent QA/QC: Coastal Analysis	Volume 1
	Appendices A, B, C, D, H, and M
	Coastal Guidelines Updates, February 2007
	PM 47
Perform Floodplain Mapping and Perform Independent QA/QC: Floodplain Mapping (including Redelineation/Digitization)	PM 50
	Volume 1 Appendices C, D, E, F, G, H, K, L, and M
	PM 52

Activity Description	Applicable Volume, Section/Subsection, and Appendix
Produce Preliminary Map Products and Perform Independent QA/QC: Produce Preliminary Map Products	Volume 1
	Appendices K, L, and M
	PM 50, 51
Distribute Preliminary Map Products and Perform Independent QA/QC: Distribute Preliminary Map Products	Volume 1
	Appendices J, K, L, and M
Post-Preliminary Map Production	Volume 1
	Appendices J, K, L, and M
	PM 42, 44

SECTION 6— SCHEDULE

The activities documented in this MAS shall be completed in accordance with Tables 6.1 – 6.15, which should drive the schedule within the MIP. If changes to this schedule are required, the responsible Mapping Partner shall coordinate with FEMA and the other Mapping Partners in a timely manner. Please also identify to whom the products associated with each task are to be submitted to (i.e. the MIP, FEMA Regional Office, etc.).

Table 6.1 Mapping Activities Schedule for Dallas County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Scoping	CTP, CTP Contractor	09/08/2010	12/29/2010	
Outreach	CTP, CTP Contractor	09/08/2010	12/23/2011	
Perform Field Surveys	CTP Contractor	12/01/2010	03/09/2011	
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	12/29/2010	04/20/2011	\$
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	03/02/2011	03/15/2011	
Acquire Base Map	CTP Contractor	01/12/2011	08/10/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Base Map	CTP	06/08/2011	07/05/2011	\$
Develop Hydrologic Data	CTP Contractor	12/29/2010	04/20/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydrologic Data	CTP, CTP Contractor	02/16/2011	03/15/2011	
Develop Hydraulic Data	CTP Contractor	04/20/2011	08/10/2011	\$
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydraulic Data	CTP, CTP Contractor	06/01/2011	07/05/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Detailed Riverine	CTP Contractor	08/10/2011	08/23/2011	

Table 6.1 Mapping Activities Schedule for Dallas County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Refinement or Creation of Zone A	CTP Contractor	05/18/2011	08/10/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Merging Revised and Unrevised Areas	CTP Contractor	08/10/2011	09/29/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Redelineation	CTP Contractor	04/27/2011	08/10/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Floodplain Mapping	CTP, CTP Contractor	09/29/2011	10/26/2011	
Develop DFIRM Database	CTP Contractor	08/10/2011	09/29/2011	
Produce Preliminary Map Products (including Graphic Specifications)	CTP Contractor	09/29/2011	12/23/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Produce Preliminary Map Products	CTP Contractor	09/29/2011	10/26/2011	
Distribute Preliminary Map Products	CTP, CTP Contractor	12/23/2011	02/03/2012	
Post-Preliminary Map Production	CTP, CTP Contractor	02/03/2012	04/30/2013	
Risk Assessment	CTP, CTP Contractor	09/08/2010	03/20/2013	
TOTAL COST				

Table 6.2 Mapping Activities Schedule for Lowndes County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Scoping	CTP, CTP Contractor	09/08/2010	02/02/2011	
Outreach	CTP, CTP Contractor	09/08/2010	01/27/2012	
Perform Field Surveys	CTP Contractor	12/01/2010	04/06/2011	
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	12/29/2010	05/18/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	03/02/2011	04/12/2011	
Acquire Base Map	CTP Contractor	01/12/2011	08/31/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Base Map	CTP	06/29/2011	07/26/2011	
Develop Hydrologic Data	CTP Contractor	12/29/2010	05/18/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydrologic Data	CTP, CTP Contractor	02/16/2011	04/12/2011	
Develop Hydraulic Data	CTP Contractor	04/20/2011	08/31/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydraulic Data	CTP, CTP Contractor	06/01/2011	07/26/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Detailed Riverine	CTP Contractor	08/31/2011	09/13/2011	

Table 6.2 Mapping Activities Schedule for Lowndes County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Refinement or Creation of Zone A	CTP Contractor	06/08/2011	08/31/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Merging Revised and Unrevised Areas	CTP Contractor	08/31/2011	10/20/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Redelineation	CTP Contractor	05/18/2011	08/31/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Floodplain Mapping	CTP, CTP Contractor	10/20/2011	11/16/2011	
Develop DFIRM Database	CTP Contractor	08/31/2011	10/20/2011	
Produce Preliminary Map Products (including Graphic Specifications)	CTP Contractor	10/20/2011	01/13/2012	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Produce Preliminary Map Products	CTP Contractor	10/20/2011	11/16/2011	
Distribute Preliminary Map Products	CTP, CTP Contractor	01/13/2012	02/24/2012	
Post-Preliminary Map Production	CTP, CTP Contractor	02/24/2012	05/21/2013	
Risk Assessment	CTP, CTP Contractor	10/13/2010	04/24/2013	
TOTAL COST				

Table 6.3 Mapping Activities Schedule for Elmore County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Scoping	CTP, CTP Contractor	09/08/2010	03/30/2011	
Outreach	CTP, CTP Contractor	09/08/2010	03/23/2012	
Perform Field Surveys	CTP Contractor	12/01/2010	06/01/2011	
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	12/29/2010	07/13/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	03/02/2011	06/07/2011	
Acquire Base Map	CTP Contractor	01/12/2011	10/26/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Base Map	CTP	08/24/2011	09/20/2011	
Develop Hydrologic Data	CTP Contractor	12/29/2010	07/13/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydrologic Data	CTP, CTP Contractor	02/16/2011	06/07/2011	
Develop Hydraulic Data	CTP Contractor	04/20/2011	10/26/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydraulic Data	CTP, CTP Contractor	06/01/2011	09/20/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Detailed Riverine	CTP Contractor	10/26/2011	11/08/2011	

Table 6.3 Mapping Activities Schedule for Elmore County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Refinement or Creation of Zone A	CTP Contractor	08/03/2011	10/26/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Merging Revised and Unrevised Areas	CTP Contractor	10/26/2011	12/15/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Redelineation	CTP Contractor	07/13/2011	10/26/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Floodplain Mapping	CTP, CTP Contractor	12/15/2011	01/11/2012	
Develop DFIRM Database	CTP Contractor	10/26/2011	12/15/2011	
Produce Preliminary Map Products (including Graphic Specifications)	CTP Contractor	12/15/2011	03/09/2012	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Produce Preliminary Map Products	CTP Contractor	12/15/2011	01/11/2012	
Distribute Preliminary Map Products	CTP, CTP Contractor	03/09/2012	04/20/2012	
Post-Preliminary Map Production	CTP, CTP Contractor	04/20/2012	07/16/2013	
Risk Assessment	CTP, CTP Contractor	12/08/2010	06/19/2013	
TOTAL COST				

Table 6.4 Mapping Activities Schedule for Montgomery County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Scoping	CTP, CTP Contractor	09/08/2010	04/20/2011	
Outreach	CTP, CTP Contractor	09/08/2010	04/13/2012	
Perform Field Surveys	CTP Contractor	12/01/2010	06/22/2011	
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	12/29/2010	08/03/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	03/02/2011	06/28/2011	
Acquire Base Map	CTP Contractor	01/12/2011	11/16/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Base Map	CTP	09/14/2011	10/11/2011	
Develop Hydrologic Data	CTP Contractor	12/29/2010	08/03/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydrologic Data	CTP, CTP Contractor	02/16/2011	06/28/2011	
Develop Hydraulic Data	CTP Contractor	04/20/2011	11/16/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydraulic Data	CTP, CTP Contractor	06/01/2011	10/11/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Detailed Riverine	CTP Contractor	11/16/2011	11/29/2011	

Table 6.4 Mapping Activities Schedule for Montgomery County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Refinement or Creation of Zone A	CTP Contractor	08/24/2011	11/16/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Merging Revised and Unrevised Areas	CTP Contractor	11/16/2011	01/05/2012	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Redelineation	CTP Contractor	08/03/2011	11/16/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Floodplain Mapping	CTP, CTP Contractor	01/05/2012	02/01/2012	
Develop DFIRM Database	CTP Contractor	11/16/2011	01/05/2012	
Produce Preliminary Map Products (including Graphic Specifications)	CTP Contractor	01/05/2012	03/30/2012	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Produce Preliminary Map Products	CTP Contractor	01/05/2012	02/01/2012	
Distribute Preliminary Map Products	CTP, CTP Contractor	03/30/2012	05/11/2012	
Post-Preliminary Map Production	CTP, CTP Contractor	05/11/2012	08/06/2013	
Risk Assessment	CTP, CTP Contractor	12/29/2010	07/10/2013	
TOTAL COST				

Table 6.5 Mapping Activities Schedule for Autauga County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Scoping	CTP, CTP Contractor	09/08/2010	06/08/2011	
Outreach	CTP, CTP Contractor	09/08/2010	06/01/2012	
Perform Field Surveys	CTP Contractor	12/01/2010	08/10/2011	
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	12/29/2010	09/21/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	03/02/2011	08/16/2011	
Acquire Base Map	CTP Contractor	01/12/2011	01/04/2012	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Base Map	CTP	11/02/2011	11/29/2011	
Develop Hydrologic Data	CTP Contractor	12/29/2010	09/21/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydrologic Data	CTP, CTP Contractor	02/16/2011	08/16/2011	
Develop Hydraulic Data	CTP Contractor	04/20/2011	01/04/2012	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydraulic Data	CTP, CTP Contractor	06/01/2011	11/29/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Detailed Riverine	CTP Contractor	01/04/2012	01/17/2012	

Table 6.5 Mapping Activities Schedule for Autauga County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Refinement or Creation of Zone A	CTP Contractor	10/12/2011	01/04/2012	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Merging Revised and Unrevised Areas	CTP Contractor	01/04/2012	02/23/2012	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Redelineation	CTP Contractor	09/21/2011	01/04/2012	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Floodplain Mapping	CTP, CTP Contractor	02/23/2012	03/21/2012	
Develop DFIRM Database	CTP Contractor	01/04/2012	02/23/2012	
Produce Preliminary Map Products (including Graphic Specifications)	CTP Contractor	02/23/2012	05/18/2012	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Produce Preliminary Map Products	CTP Contractor	02/23/2012	03/21/2012	0
Distribute Preliminary Map Products	CTP, CTP Contractor	05/18/2012	06/29/2012	
Post-Preliminary Map Production	CTP, CTP Contractor	06/29/2012	09/24/2013	
Risk Assessment	CTP, CTP Contractor	02/16/2011	08/28/2013	
TOTAL COST				

Table 6.6 Mapping Activities Schedule for Houston County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Scoping	CTP, CTP Contractor	11/17/2010	03/09/2011	
Outreach	CTP, CTP Contractor	11/17/2010	03/02/2012	
Perform Field Surveys	CTP Contractor	02/02/2011	05/11/2011	
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	11/17/2010	06/22/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	05/04/2011	05/17/2011	
Acquire Base Map	CTP Contractor	03/09/2011	10/05/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Base Map	CTP	08/03/2011	08/30/2011	
Develop Hydrologic Data	CTP Contractor	03/09/2011	06/22/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydrologic Data	CTP, CTP Contractor	04/27/2011	05/17/2011	
Develop Hydraulic Data	CTP Contractor	06/22/2011	10/05/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Hydraulic Data	CTP, CTP Contractor	08/10/2011	08/30/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Detailed Riverine	CTP Contractor	10/05/2011	10/18/2011	

Table 6.6 Mapping Activities Schedule for Houston County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Refinement or Creation of Zone A	CTP Contractor	07/13/2011	10/05/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Merging Revised and Unrevised Areas	CTP Contractor	10/05/2011	11/24/2011	
Perform Floodplain Mapping: Redelineation	CTP Contractor	06/22/2011	10/05/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Floodplain Mapping	CTP, CTP Contractor	11/24/2011	12/21/2011	
Develop DFIRM Database	CTP Contractor	10/05/2011	11/24/2011	
Produce Preliminary Map Products (including Graphic Specifications)	CTP Contractor	11/24/2011	02/17/2012	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Produce Preliminary Map Products	CTP Contractor	11/24/2011	12/21/2011	
Distribute Preliminary Map Products	CTP, CTP Contractor	02/17/2012	03/30/2012	
Post-Preliminary Map Production	CTP, CTP Contractor	03/30/2012	06/25/2013	
Risk Assessment	CTP, CTP Contractor	11/17/2010	05/29/2013	
TOTAL COST				

Table 6.7 Mapping Activities Schedule for Dale County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	11/15/2010	03/15/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	03/15/2011	04/30/2011	
TOTAL COST				

Table 6.8 Mapping Activities Schedule for Coffee County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	11/15/2010	03/15/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	03/15/2011	04/30/2011	
TOTAL COST				

Table 6.9 Mapping Activities Schedule for Geneva County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	11/15/2010	03/15/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	03/15/2011	04/30/2011	
TOTAL COST				

Table 6.10 Mapping Activities Schedule for Calhoun County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	11/15/2010	03/15/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	03/15/2011	04/30/2011	
TOTAL COST				

Table 6.11 Mapping Activities Schedule for Talladega County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	11/15/2010	03/15/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	03/15/2011	04/30/2011	
TOTAL COST				

Table 6.12 Mapping Activities Schedule for St. Clair County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	11/15/2010	03/15/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	03/15/2011	04/30/2011	
TOTAL COST				

Table 6.13 Mapping Activities Schedule for Madison County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	11/15/2010	03/15/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	03/15/2011	04/30/2011	
TOTAL COST				

Table 6.14 Mapping Activities Schedule for Lauderdale County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	11/15/2010	03/15/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	03/15/2011	04/30/2011	
TOTAL COST				

Table 6.15 Mapping Activities Schedule for Colbert County				
ACTIVITIES	RESPONSIBLE PARTNER(S)	Estimated START DATE	Estimated END DATE	Estimated COST
Develop Topographic Data	CTP	11/15/2010	03/15/2011	
Perform Independent QA/QC: Topographic Data	CTP Contractor	03/15/2011	04/30/2011	
TOTAL COST				

SECTION 7—CERTIFICATIONS

Data Capture Standards

DCS Certification Form The Mapping Partner will comply with the revised DCS standards as outlined in revised version of Appendix M, including the certification requirements. A PDF of the form with the signature, data, and seal affixed to the form must be submitted digitally. This form must be signed by a registered Professional Engineer (or Surveyor if appropriate) from the firm contracted to perform the work, or by the responsible official of a government agency. A digital version of this form is available at www.fema.gov.

Perform Field Surveys and Develop Topographic Data

A Registered Professional Engineer or Licensed Land Surveyor shall provide an accuracy statement for field surveys and/or topographic data used and shall certify these data meet the accuracy statement provided. Data accuracy should be stated used the Federal Geographic Data Committee National Standards for Spatial Data Accuracy, but the American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing accuracy reporting standards are acceptable.

Acquire Base Map

- A community official or responsible party shall provide written certification that the digital data meet FEMA minimum standards and specifications.
- The responsible Mapping Partner shall provide documentation that the digital base map can be used by FEMA. Please note that uploading base map data to the MIP does not constitute agreement that the digital base map can be used by FEMA. Documentation that the digital base map can be used by FEMA is still required.
- Certifications must be made at the time the intermediate data is submitted. For example, if hydrologic data is submitted, certification will be required at the time it is submitted.

Develop Hydrologic Data, Develop Hydraulic Data, Perform Coastal Analysis, and Perform Floodplain Mapping

- A Registered Professional Engineer shall certify hydrologic and hydraulic and coastal analyses and data in accordance with 44 CFR 65.6(f).
- Any levee systems to be accredited will be certified by the levee owner or other appropriate entity in accordance with 44 CFR 65.10.

SECTION 8—TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE AND RESOURCES

Project Team members may obtain copies of FEMA-issued LOMCs, archived engineering backup data, and data collected as part of the mapping needs assessment and/or CNMS process from FEMA and/or your Regional Project Officer.

General technical and programmatic information can be downloaded from the FEMA website at http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/fhm/frm_soft.shtm Specific technical and programmatic support may be provided through FEMA and/or its contractor; such assistance should be requested through the FEMA Project Officer specified in Section 12 – Points of Contact.

Project Team members also may consult with the FEMA Regional Project Officer to request support in the areas of selection of data sources, digital data accuracy standards, assessment of vertical data accuracy, data collection methods or subcontractors, and GIS-based engineering and modeling training.

Assistance with the MIP may be requested at miphelp@riskmapcds.com

SECTION 9—CONTRACTORS

The Alabama Office of Water Resources intends to use the services of AMEC Earth and Environmental, Inc. and PBS&J Corporation as contractors for this Risk MAP Project. The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall ensure that the procurement for all contractors used for this Risk MAP Project complies with the requirements of 44 CFR 13.36.

Part 13 may be downloaded in PDF or text format from the United States Government Printing Office website at http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_04/44cfr13_04.html.

SECTION 10—REPORTING

Financial Reporting: Because funding has been provided to the Alabama Office of Water Resources by FEMA, financial reporting requirements for the Alabama Office of Water Resources will be in

accordance with Cooperative Agreement Articles. The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall also refer to 44 CFR 13.41.

The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall provide financial reports to the FEMA Regional Project Officer and Assistance Officer in accordance with the terms of the signed Cooperative Agreement for this MAS.

Status Reporting: Status reports will be submitted on a quarterly basis in accordance with the financial reporting submittals. The Alabama Office of Water Resources shall refer to 44 CFR 13.4 to obtain minimum requirements for status reporting. The Project Officer, as needed, may request additional information on status.

The Alabama Office of Water Resources may meet with FEMA and/or its contractor up to bi-weekly, or more frequently if needed, to review the progress of the project in addition to the quarterly financial and status submittals. These meetings will alternate between FEMA's Regional Office, the Alabama Office of Water Resources' office, and conference calls, as necessary.

Earned Value Data Entry:

The MIP Workflow is designed to track the Earned Value of mapping projects. This information is automatically calculated by the MIP, using the actual cost and schedule of work performed, or "actuals" and comparing them to the expected cost and schedule of work performed, or "baseline".

Once the FEMA Regional office has funded a project, FEMA will complete the "Obligate Project Funds" screen in the MIP. This step establishes the baseline for the project in the MIP, using the cost and schedule information for each task as outlined in this document and agreed to at the completion of the scoping process.

The MIP study workflow allows the CTP to report on the status of these projects at a task level. The cost and schedule information, updated by the CTP Contractor for each contracted task, is compared to the baseline established for those tasks. This information is rolled up to a project level and monitored by the FEMA Region to assess progress and Earned Value.

Earned Value reporting involves the reporting of cost, schedule and performance (physical percent complete) in the MIP by the CTP Contractor.

Once the baseline has been established in the MIP, the CTP Contractor shall input the performance and actual cost to date for each contracted task for each project. This must be completed at minimum every thirty days and at the completion of the task. The "As of" date must be updated not less than every thirty days even if the reported percent complete and money spent have not changed from previous month.

When a task is completed, including all QA/QC activities in this MAS plus the Quality Control Reviews established in PM 42. The CTP Contractor shall enter 100% complete, enter the actual completion cost, and the actual completion date within the Manage Data Development, Manage Preliminary Map Production, or Manage Post Preliminary Processing, as applicable.

The Project Officer, as needed, may request additional information on status on an ad hoc basis.

SECTION 11—PROJECT COORDINATION

Throughout the project, all members of the Project Team will coordinate, as necessary, to ensure the products meet the technical and format specifications required and contain accurate, up-to-date information. Coordination activities may include:

- Meetings, teleconferences, and video conferences with FEMA and other Project Team members, as necessary;
- Telephone conversations with FEMA and other Project Team members on a scheduled basis and an ad hoc basis, as required;
- Updates to the MIP and other FEMA status information systems in accordance with requirements in Volumes 1 and 3 of G&S; and
- E-mail, facsimile transmissions, and letters, as required.


SECTION 12—POINTS OF CONTACT

The points of contact for this Risk MAP Project are Kristen Martinenza, the FEMA Regional Project Officer; Leslie Durham, the Project Manager for the Alabama Office of Water Resources; or subsequent personnel of comparable experience who are appointed to fulfill these responsibilities. When necessary, any additional FEMA assistance should be requested through the FEMA Regional Project Officer.


Each party has caused this MAS to be executed by its duly authorized representative.




 Leslie Durham, P.E.
 Project Manager
 Alabama Office of Water Resources



 Date



 Kristen Martinenza, P.E.
 Regional Project Officer
 Federal Emergency Management Agency, Region 4



 Date

APPENDIX A

Table 1. Fiscal Year 2010 Scope of Work

Watershed		County	Cost	Notes
Mobile-Tensaw Mobile Bay Mississippi Coastal Perdido Perdido Bay	Baldwin			40 Additional transects Additional scoping and community outreach requirements
	Mobile			
Total Mobile-Tensaw, Mobile Bay, Mississippi Coastal, Perdido and Perdido Bay Project Cost				
Upper Alabama				
				Upper Alabama Hydrology
		Autauga		Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours) New hydrologic and hydraulic analyses will achieve >80% NVUE Validation countywide
		Elmore		Countywide 2ft contours New hydrologic and hydraulic analyses will achieve 20% NVUE Validation countywide
		Montgomery		Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours) New hydrologic and hydraulic analyses will achieve >80% NVUE Validation countywide
		Dallas		LIDAR to be collected along the Alabama River corridor Alabama River engineering and mapping only
		Lowndes		LIDAR to be collected along the Alabama River corridor Alabama River engineering and mapping only
Total Upper Alabama Project Cost				
Total Upper Alabama CTP Match Cost			Total Partner Contributions	Partner Match

Table 1. Fiscal Year 2010 Scope of Work

Watershed	County	Cost	Notes
Upper Choctawhatchee; Lower Chattahoochee; and Chipola			Upper Choctawhatchee, Lower Chattahoochee and Chipola Hydrology
	Houston		Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours) available New hydrologic and hydraulic analyses will achieve >80% NVUE Validation countywide
	Dale		Fiscal Year 2011 project, no quality topographic data available
	Coffee		Fiscal Year 2011 project, no quality topographic data available, levee present
	Geneva		Fiscal Year 2011 project, no quality topographic data available, levee present
Total Upper Choctawhatchee, Lower Chattahoochee and Chipola Project Cost			
Middle Coosa	Total Upper Choctawhatchee, Lower Chattahoochee and Chipola CTP Match Cost		Total Partner Contributions
			Partner Match
	Calhoun		Fiscal Year 2011 project, no quality topographic data available, co-funding partnership with USGS, ALDOT and communities
	Talladega		Fiscal Year 2011 project, no quality topographic data available, co-funding partnership with USGS, ALDOT and communities
	St. Clair		Fiscal Year 2011 project, no quality topographic data available, co-funding partnership with USGS, ALDOT and communities
Total Middle Coosa Project Cost			
Wheeler Lake	Madison		Fiscal Year 2011 project, no quality topographic data available, co-funding

Table 1. Fiscal Year 2010 Scope of Work

Watershed	County	Cost	Notes
	Lauderdale		partnership with ALDOT, communities and potentially TVA
Total Wheeler Lake Project Cost			Fiscal Year 2011 project, no quality topographic data available, co-funding partnership with ALDOT, communities and potentially TVA
Pickwick Lake	Colbert		Fiscal Year 2011 project, no quality topographic data available, numerous sinkholes present, co-funding partnership with ALDOT, communities and potentially TVA
Total Pickwick Lake Project Cost			
Total Project Cost For Fiscal Year 2010			




Table 2. Upper Alabama Watershed

County	FY10 Activity	FY10 Cost
	Upper Alabama Watershed Hydrology (2,407 mi ²)	
Autauga County	Hydraulics Mapping – Countywide – (64 panels)	
Elmore County	Hydraulics Mapping – PMR – (27 panels)	
Montgomery County	Hydraulics Mapping – PMR – (33 panels)	
Dallas County	Hydraulics Mapping – PMR – (38 panels)	
Lowndes County	Hydraulics Mapping – PMR – (31 panels)	
Upper Alabama Watershed Total Cost		

Table 2a. Autauga County Detailed Mapping Activities

Priority	Stream Name	Stream Length (mi.)	Current Effective	Proposed Activity	Notes
1	Autauga Creek	1.2	AE	New hydrology, hydraulics and mapping for without levee conditions	Anticipated PAL expiration without certification requirements being provided
2	Alabama River	46.8	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Detailed Study	NVUE Invalidation: S2, S3, S4 & S6; Significant decline in BFES since construction of Jones Bluff Dam; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
3	Bear Creek	9.7	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Detailed Study	NVUE Invalidation: C1, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
4	Noland Creek	8.7	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Detailed Study	NVUE Invalidation: S4, S6, S7 & S10; Stream digitized for 2009 study – new pond needs to be added to model; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
5	Haney Branch	2.4	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Detailed Study	NVUE Invalidation: S4, S6, S7 & S10; Stream digitized for FY 2005 funded study; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
6	Rogers Branch	1.7	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Detailed Study	NVUE Invalidation: S4, S6, S7 & S10; Stream digitized for FY 2005 funded study; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
7	Swift Creek	14.0	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Detailed Study	NVUE Invalidation: S4, S6, S7 & S10; Stream digitized for FY 2005 funded study; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
8	White Water Creek	2.3	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Detailed Study	NVUE Invalidation: S4, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
9	Yellow Water Creek	2.7	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Detailed Study	NVUE Invalidation: S4, S6, S7 & S10; Stream digitized for FY 2005 funded study; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
10	Breakfast Creek	3.4	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Detailed Study	NVUE Invalidation: S4, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
11	Dry Creek	2.0	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	New countywide LIDAR (2ft contours) – Urban Area

Table 2a. Autauga County Detailed Mapping Activities

Priority	Stream Name	Stream Length (mi.)	Current Effective	Proposed Activity	Notes
12	Mortar Creek Tributary	2.1	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	New countywide LIDAR (2ft contours) – Urban Area
13	Mill Creek	1.5	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	New countywide LIDAR (2ft contours) – Urban Area
14	Existing Zone A Streams	263.0	A	Refine existing Zone A streams on new topographic data	New countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
	Total	361.5			

Table 2b. Elmore County Detailed Mapping Activities

Priority	Stream Name	Stream Length (mi.)	Current Effective	Proposed Activity	Notes
1	Alabama River	22.0	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidation: S2, S3, S4 & S6; Significant decline in BFES since construction of Jones Bluff Dam; Countywide 2ft contours available
2	Mortar Creek	2.9	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidation: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide 2ft contours available
3	Coosada Creek	5.8	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidation: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide 2ft contours available
4	Cottonford Creek	5.2	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidation: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide 2ft contours available
5	Jackson Lake Tributary	0.5	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidation: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide 2ft contours available
6	Mill Creek	6.2	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidation: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide 2ft contours available
7	Mill Creek Tributary	0.5	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidation: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide 2ft contours available
8	Still Creek	4.9	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidation: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide 2ft contours available
9	Grandview Branch	1.3	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidation: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide 2ft contours available
10	Fay Branch	2.5	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	Countywide 2ft contours available – Urban Area
11	Mill Creek Tributary	0.6	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	Countywide 2ft contours available – Urban Area
12	Mill Creek	1.3	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	Countywide 2ft contours available – Urban Area
13	Cottonford Creek	1.4	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	Countywide 2ft contours available – Urban Area
14	Middle Creek	5.2	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	Countywide 2ft contours available – Urban Area

Table 2b. Elmore County Detailed Mapping Activities

Priority	Stream Name	Stream Length (mi.)	Current Effective	Proposed Activity	Notes
				Study	
15	Middle Creek Tributary 1	0.5	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	Countywide 2ft contours available – Urban Area
16	Middle Creek Tributary 2	1.7	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	Countywide 2ft contours available – Urban Area
17	Middle Creek Tributary 2 – Branch 1	0.5	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	Countywide 2ft contours available – Urban Area
18	Middle Creek Tributary 2 – Branch 2	0.6	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	Countywide 2ft contours available – Urban Area
19	Middle Creek Tributary 3	0.9	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	Countywide 2ft contours available – Urban Area
20	Mortar Creek	10.0	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	Countywide 2ft contours available – Urban Area
21	Callaway Creek Tributary 1	1.3	A	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping – Limited Detail Study	Countywide 2ft contours available – Urban Area
22	Existing Zone A Streams	19.0	A	Refine existing Zone A streams on new topographic data	Countywide 2ft contours available
	Total	94.8			

Table 2c. Montgomery County Detailed Mapping Activities

Priority	Stream Name	Stream Length (mi.)	Current Effective	Proposed Activity	Notes
1	Alabama River	41.2	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S2, S3, S4 & S6; Significant decline in BFES since construction of Jones Bluff Dam; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
2	Caney Branch	6.3	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S1, S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
3	Catoma Creek Tributary 1	3.3	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S2, S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
4	Catoma Creek Tributary 1 Branch 1	1.6	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
5	Catoma Creek Tributary 1 Branch 2	2.2	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
6	Catoma Creek Tributary 1 Branch 3	1.7	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
7	Baldwin Slough	6.3	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S2, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
8	Snowdoun Creek	1.9	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
Total		64.5			

Table 2d. Dallas County Detailed Mapping Activities

Priority	Stream Name	Stream Length (mi.)	Current Effective	Proposed Activity	Notes
1	Alabama River	64.5	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidation: S2, S3, S4 & S6; Significant decline in BFEs since construction of Jones Bluff Dam; AL River Corridor LIDAR (2ft contours)
Total		64.5			

Table 2e. Lowndes County Detailed Mapping Activities

Priority	Stream Name	Stream Length (mi.)	Current Effective	Proposed Activity	Notes
1	Alabama River	36.9	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidation: S2, S3, S4 & S6; Significant decline in BFEs since construction of Jones Bluff Dam; AL River Corridor LIDAR (2ft contours)
Total		36.9			




Table 3. Upper Choctawhatchee, Lower Chattahoochee and Chipola Watersheds

County	FY10 Activity	FY10 Cost
Houston County	Upper Choctawhatchee, Lower Chattahoochee, and Chipola Hydrology (2,385 mi ²)	\$158,600
Dale County	Hydraulics Mapping – Countywide – (74 panels)	\$1,063,620
Coffee County	LIDAR Collection Only	\$130,000
Geneva County	LIDAR Collection Only	\$160,000
Geneva County	LIDAR Collection Only	\$135,000
Upper Choctawhatchee, Lower Chattahoochee and Chipola Watersheds Total Cost		\$1,647,220

Table 3a. Houston County Detailed Mapping Activities

Priority	Stream Name	Stream Length (mi.)	Current Effective	Proposed Activity	Notes
1	Rock Creek Tributary	0.6	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: C5, S1, S2, S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
2	Beaver Creek Tributary 3	1.3	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: C5, S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
3	Chipola Creek	6.5	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: C5, S3, S6 & S7; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
4	Beaver Creek	6.7	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S1, S2, S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
5	Choctawhatchee River	2.4	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S2, S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
6	Murphy Mill Branch	2.4	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S1, S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
7	Newton Creek	2.0	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S1, S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
8	Boggy Creek	2.1	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
9	Boggy Creek Tributary	0.8	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
10	Buck Creek	1.6	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
11	Buck Creek Tributary	1.9	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
12	Cowarts Creek	6.8	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
13	Limestone Creek	8.1	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
14	Little Choctawhatchee River	19.6	AE	New hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
15	Beaver Creek Tributary 2	0.3	A	New detailed hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	Community Request: NVUE Invalidations: C4, S3, S6 & S7; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)

Table 3a. Houston County Detailed Mapping Activities

Priority	Stream Name	Stream Length (mi.)	Current Effective	Proposed Activity	Notes
16	Beaver Creek Tributary 1	0.2	A	New detailed hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	Community Request; NVUE Invalidations: S1, S3, S4, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
17	Unnamed Cypress Creek Tributary	2.2	A	New detailed hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	Community Request; NVUE Invalidations: S1, S3, S4, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
18	Omussee Creek	8.0	A	New detailed hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	Community Request; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S4, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
19	Cypress Creek Tributary 1	0.7	A	New detailed hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	Community Request; NVUE Invalidations: S1, S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
20	Unnamed Rock Creek Tributary	0.3	A	New detailed hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	Community Request; NVUE Invalidations: S1, S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
21	Cooper Creek	2.5	A	New detailed hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	Community Request; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S4, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
22	Golf Creek	0.6	A	New detailed hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	Community Request; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
23	Newton Creek	0.5	A	New detailed hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	Community Request; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S4, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
24	Unnamed Newton Creek Tributary	0.1	A	New detailed hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	Community Request; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6, S7 & S10; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
25	Little Choctawhatchee River	0.9	A	New detailed hydrology and hydraulics, new mapping	Community Request; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7; Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours)
26	Beaver Creek Tributary 1	2.5	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision

Table 3a. Houston County Detailed Mapping Activities

Priority	Stream Name	Stream Length (mi.)	Current Effective	Proposed Activity	Notes
27	Beaver Creek Tributary 2	1.3	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
28	Beulah Creek	2.8	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours) available; Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
29	Beulah Creek Tributary	0.1	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
30	Chipola Creek Tributary	0.6	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
31	Cooper Creek	1.7	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
32	Crawford Creek	2.6	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
33	Cypress Creek	4.6	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
34	Cypress Creek Tributary 1	1.5	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
35	Cypress Creek Tributary 2	2.0	AE	Redelineation of existing study	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours);

Table 3a. Houston County Detailed Mapping Activities

Priority	Stream Name	Stream Length (mi.)	Current Effective	Proposed Activity	Notes
				on new topographic data	Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
36	Golf Creek	5.7	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
37	Harrison Mill Creek	1.6	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
38	Ormussee Creek	4.1	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
39	Poplar Spring Branch	3.6	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
40	Rock Creek	4.6	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
41	Rocky Branch	3.0	AE	Redelineation of existing study on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision; NVUE Invalidations: S3, S6 & S7
42	Existing Zone A Streams	465.0	A	Refine existing Zone A streams on new topographic data	Countywide LIDAR (2ft contours); Floodplains were digitized for 2005 revision
	Total	586.4			




Table 4. Middle Coosa Watershed

County	FY10 Activity	FY10 Cost
Calhoun County	LiDAR Collection Only	
St. Clair County	LiDAR Collection Only	
Talladega County	LiDAR Collection Only	
Middle Coosa Watershed Total Cost		




Table 5. Wheeler Lake Watershed

County	FY10 Activity	FY10 Cost
Madison County	LIDAR Collection Only	
Lauderdale County	LIDAR Collection Only	
Wheeler Lake Watershed Total Cost		




Table 6. Pickwick Lake Watershed

County	FY10 Activity	FY10 Cost
Colbert County	LIDAR Collection Only	
Pickwick Lake Watershed Total Cost		

APPENDIX B

Table B1 - Upper Alabama Watershed Fiscal Year 2010 Partner Contributions		
Watershed	County	Partner Contribution
Upper Alabama	Autauga County	
	Elmore County	
	Chilton County	
	Dallas County	
	Lowndes County	
Total Partner Contribution		\$
Total Project Cost (CTP Match Portion)		\$
Percent Match		

